IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MRS. DAVID ORLIKOW, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

D. D. C. Civil Action No.

80-3163

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

VS.

Defendant

Culpeper, Virginia Tuesday, May 17, 1983

Deposition of,

SIDNEY GOTTLIEB, PhD

a witness, called for examination by counsel for the plaintiffs, pursuant to adjournment, taken at the Boxwood House Motel, Route 29, Culpeper, Virginia, beginning at 10:00 o'clock, a.m., before Millie R. Ciolino, a Notary Public in and for the State of Virginia at Large, when were present on behalf of the respective parties:

MILTON REPORTING, INCORPORATED (espusible) 363

OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1601 Connecticut Ava., N.W., Suite 302
Washington, D.C. 20009
Phones: (202) 833-3598

633-3599

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

2

3

4

For the Plaintiffs:

JAMES C. TURNER, ESQ., and ELLIOTT C. LICHTMAN RAUH, SILARD AND LICHTMAN 1001 Connecticut Aven., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

For the Defendants:

LEE STRICKLAND, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Courthouse Room 2832 3rd and Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C.

STEVEN HERMES, ESQ. CIA, Room 7C2A Langley, Virginia 20505

$\underline{\mathsf{C}} \ \underline{\mathsf{O}} \ \underline{\mathsf{N}} \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \underline{\mathtt{N}} \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \underline{\mathtt{S}}$

EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR:

WITNESS: PLAINTIFFS: DEFENDANT:

(Mr. Turner)

SIDNEY GOTTLIEB, Ph.D. 245

EXHIBITS

ŋ	EXHIBIT NO:	Marked for identi- fication and attached	Received in Evidence
10	Plaintiff's #7	248	
11	Plaintiff's #8	263	
12	Plaintiff's #9	269	
13	Plaintiff's #10	274	
14	Plaintiff's #ll	276	
	Plaintiff's #12	279	
16	Plaintiff's #13	296	
17	Plaintiff's #14	309	
18	Plaintiff's #15	331	

5 /

$\underline{P} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{G} \ \underline{S}$

MR. TURNER: There are presently two matters I wish to address before we continue with the examination.

First, the government has yet to respond to a number of requests we have made for documents and other materials that relate to the subject matter of Dr. Gottlieb's testimony. At Mr. Gittinger's deposition of January 19, certain materials were requested, at Mr. Helms' deposition of March 14 additional documents and other materials were requested. Those requests were confirmed in my letter of March 17, Mr. Strickland.

Additional materials were requested in Mr. Rauh's letter of March 29 to Mr. Strickland. I supplied copies of those two letters to Mr. Hermes on April 8. At that time I requested that those materials be supplied before the first session of your deposition, Dr. Gottlieb, and Mr. Hermes stated that those materials would be supplied as soon as possible, which he estimated at that time to be within two weeks.

At the April 19 session of your deposition, Dr.

Gottlieb, the government agreed to provide certain documents
and review other materials requested by the plaintiff. These

requests were confirmed in my letter of April 21 to Mr. Strickland and Mr. Hermes which also requested certain additional materials again relating to the subject matter of your deposition.

In that letter I asked that the requested materials be supplied before the reconvened deposition and that any materials which were ready be supplied without waiting to complete the government's response to all outstanding requests. In a telephone conversation with Mr. Strickland on May 10, I again requested the government supply whatever materials were ready before this reconvened deposition.

Because we have yet to receive any of the requested materials, and because a great many of those materials bear on your testimony, Dr. Gottlieb, we will not be able to complete your deposition today. We will attempt to move through as many of the remaining questions as possible.

I just wanted to that state at the outset in fair-ness to you so you would understand where we stood in the deposition.

The second matter is quite brief. After Mr. Helms' deposition of March 14 we were approached by the CIA lawyer representing Mr. Helms, Robert La Prade. Mr. La Prade

stated at that time that the government was not purchasing a copy of the Helms' deposition transcript and requested that I authorize the court reporter to release the original to him for Mr. Helms' review and verification.

any inconvenience to Mr. Helms, I authorized that release on April 8, 1983. Although some five and a half weeks have since passed, the original transcript of Mr. Helms is yet to be returned to the reporter for filing. If that original transcript is not returned by Monday, May 23, we will move the court to a second photocopy of that transcript for filing.

This is the reconvened deposition of Dr. Sidney

Gottlieb which was originally scheduled for a week ago. Dr.

Gottlieb contacted us explaining that he had to attend a funeral on that date and we rescheduled for today at his request

Dr. Gottlieb, you realize you are still under oath.
DR. GOTTLIEB: Yes, I do.

MR. STRICKLAND: Of the letter which you mentioned which outline the plaintiff request for information, which letters would encompass the totality of the information?

There was one lengthy one.

MR. HERMES: April 21st, the one that I believe

2

3 4

5

6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

contains the entire list of outstanding requests to that point. MR. TURNER: That is correct.

MR. STRICKLAND: So the April 21 letter to me, I believe, will encompass everything.

MR. TURNER: I sent copies to both you guys and I believe that it encompasses to the best of my ability of pulling together every request that was outstanding at that time.

MR. STRICKLAND: And we did have a conversation on the telephone several days ago where you asked if it would be possible to have some materials before or whatever materials before the deposition was reconvened and I said if they were available I certainly would. None of these materials have been made available to the U.S. Attorney's Office by the Agency at the present time. So at the moment I don't have any.

I understood that their review is continuing, that they are having difficulty in locating some of the documents which were relied upon during earlier depositions. You will recall that certain of the exhibits might have been under the Marks FOIA request and there is some problem. As I understand, there were over ten thousand documents in that case and it is also an old case and unfortunately is not in a neat stack, so that is apparently one of the matters

that is holding this up, Mr. Hermes.

MR. HERMES: Most of the stuff has been collected and compiled and it shouldn't be a major difficulty in getting this stuff to you in about a ten day period. The ARTICHOKE is the stuff, the major outstanding request we have to comply on.

MR. TURNER: Will that material be available by the March 27 date specified in the court order?

MR. STRICKLAND: March 27.

MR. TURNER: May 27.

MR. HERMES: That is the 30-day period that was set at the last conference.

MR. TURNER: And other materials will be available within ten days.

MR. HERMES: Yes, sir.

MR. STRICKLAND: The last matter which you raised was the original of the deposition of Ambassador Helms. As I understand, that is still being reviewed by Ambassador Helms' counsel. I don't believe there is any problem and I -- it is obviously your choice. But, I would suggest that you not move, I will see if I can't have him expedite the review and get it signed and get it returned to you.

MR. TURNER: Monday is still the date. But I will

1 Whereupon, 2 SIDNEY GOTTLIEB, PhD 3 resumed the stand and, having been previously duly sworn, was 4 further examined and testified as follows: 5 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS 6 BY MR. TURNER: 7 Since the last time you were here, Dr. Gottlieb, 0 8 have you discussed the subject matter or MKULTRA with anyone? 9 I have not. 10 Have you read the transcript of that first session? 11 I have. A 12 Have you prepared corrections to the transcript 13 of that first session? 14 What I did was to correct each thing and put my 15 initials and I am prepared to sign it. 16 MR. TURNER: May I examine that at the lunch hour, 17 any changes in Dr. Gottlieb's testimony that he has made in the 18 document? 19 THE WITNESS: There were no major changes. Typo-20 graphical changes, no substantive changes. 21 MR. TURNER: With that understanding, I will not 22

be in communication with you.

to look at it, if there are no substantive changes. 1 MR. STRICKLAND: Not to my knowledge. I have not 2 even looked at it myself or -- I have not looked at Dr. Gott-3 4 lieb's changes. 5 BY MR. TURNER: Have you read any other transcript or testimony, 6 7 Dr. Gottlieb, since our last session? 8 I have not. Λ 9 Examined any other documents? 10 I have not. Reports, books or other papers concerning the sub-11 12 ject matter? 13 No. 14 There are a few matters that were raised last time 15 that I wish to deal with at the beginning. 16 Dr. Gottlieb, you testified, as I recall, that an 17 Air Force colonel served as Dr. Gibbons' deputy but you could 18 not recall his name? 19 Yes. 20 Has that come to you? 0 21 Yes, it has. His name is Gen. Giller. Λ 22 Do you recall whether that is right? Q

Ed Giller, I think that is his name. Something 1 A tells me that I may be spelling it wrong. Ed Giller. 2 When did he serve in that capacity? 3 I would guess it was in the period of -- I have to 4 honestly say I don't know. I can give you a figure and then 5 I am not sure. I was thinking it was in the 60's and that is 6 7 the best I can come up with. 8 So he came after Colonel Drum? 9 Yes. You also testified that early in its existence 10 MKULTRA was more concerned with the defending against techniques 11 12 of behavior control than with the potential of those techniques 13 as an offensive weapons; is that accurate? 14 That is accurate. 15 Didn't the Olson incident suggest to people at CIA 16 that LSD did have potential as an offensive weapon? 17 Not really, no. 18 MR. TURNER: Mark this as --19 THE WITNESS: Let me make one comment on what I said 20 I am inferring that you mean to suggest to people in CIA that the -- that they ought to be interested in their offensive usc. 21 Clearly they were worried about its uses in offensive weapons by 22

opposition, that was the whole idea.

(The document referred to was marked as Plaintiff's No. 1, Gottlieb for identification, a copy of which is attached to the court copy of this deposition.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Dr. Gottlieb, I hand you Plaintiff's Exhibit #7 to the deposition, it consists of two related documents and I ask you to read it.

(Witness perusing document.)

- Q Who is H. M. Chadwell, Doctor?
- A I think Chadwell was head of a unit in CIA in the early 50's known as the Office of Scientific Information.
 - Q What were his functions in that capacity?
- except that OSI at that time was part of the intelligence processing and production side of the Agency for scientific information. By that I mean they were not involved in collecting information.
 - O Is he alive?
- A I really don't know. I guess not. He was an old man at the time.
 - Q The Lovell referred to is Stanley Lovell?

1	Λ	I believe that is who he is.
2	Q T	Who is he?
3	Λ	Stanley Lovell was somebody who was active in World
4	War II in OS	S in the technical side.
5	Q I	What was his connection with the Agency?
6	A	I don't think he had any formal connection. This
7	memorandum ce	ertainly implies that contact of some kind. I would
8	describe wha	t relation he had with the Agency as ad hoc contact
9	with whom the	ey would talk every once in a while.
10	Q I	oid his areas of expertise include the use of drugs
11	and similar	techniques?
12	A	I don't know that I would know all his areas of
13	expertise. The	ney were some of the areas he talked about or
14	wrote about	when he was in OSS.
15	Q :	Is he alive?
16	A	I really don't know. In fact, I think he wrote a
17	book about h:	is work in OSS.
18	Ö A	Who is George Merck?
19	A	I think he was the President of Merck Company. He
20	was on some	advisory committee to the Camp Detrick activity.
21	Ω 1	What is the Merck Company?
22	Λ	I don't know how to describe it other than it is
	E.	

	1	
1	a large p	harmaceutical company in Rahway, New Jersey.
2	Q	What was his connection with the Agency?
3	A	None as far as I know.
4	Q	His connection was with the Special Operations
5	Division	of Fort Detrick?
6	A.	It was more with the overall Camp Detrick operation
7	I think t	hat, I am not sure.
8	Q	Is he alive?
9	A	I don't know.
10	Ω	Who is the Quarles referred to in the first page,
11	the second	d point?
12	A	I really don't remember who he was. I never met
13	him, I ne	ver talked to him.
14	Q	Who is Mr. McMahon, the "CC" at the bottom, Doctor?
15	A	Well, the only McMahon I know is a McMahon who is
16	now Deput	y Director of CIA. At that time, I don't know.
17	Strike the	at. It doesn't make much sense that in if this was
18	part of t	his memo on December '53, I don't have any idea.
19	Q	That McMahon is now the Deputy Director now with
20	the agency	y?
21	Λ	I don't know. There is no real reason to think tha
22	30 years	ago that McMahon was involved with this at all.
- 1		

1	Q What was the "Schwab activity at Detrick" refer-
2	red to in paragraph 2 in the first page?
3	A Referring to the Special Operations Division at
4	Camp Detrick.
5	Q Is Schwab a man's name?
6	A Yes. I think his name is John Schwab and he was
7	head of the Special Operations Division. He later left Detrick
8	to go to work, for, I think, a large pharmaceutical company.
9	Q Why did Quarles and Merck consider that activity
10	"unAmerican"?
11	A I haven't the slightest idea.
12	Q What did that activity involve?
13	A It involved covert considerations in the use of
14	biological and chemical warfare from a defensive point of view,
15	as far as I know.
16	Q What was CIA's involvement in that activity?
17	A We felt that we had a directive we needed to keep
18	in touch with the state of that art. It was part of U.S. capa-
19	bility to be aware of the possibilities in covert use of bio-
20	logical and chemical weapons.
21	Q Was that MKNAOMI?
22	A I told you last time I get very confused now about

the cryptonyms, I really don't remember specifically what was subsumed under MKNAOMI.

Q Did Quarles and Merck succeed in terminating that activity?

A I would have to conclude the answer is no, since it continued beyond this date.

Q Did you play a role in deciding whether that activity should continue?

A Absolutely not.

Q Did you discuss that activity at that time with anyone who was in a position to be active in determining whether that activity would continue?

A Let me back up a little bit. I was not aware there was any activity by Quarles or anybody else to kill the Schwab activity, so I couldn't involve myself in that whole question.

O Did Dr. Gibbons play any role in that?

A I don't know.

Q By virtue of his position, would he have been the officer who would have been involved in taking whatever actions were necessary at a high place?

A I really can't answer that. Whatever I said on that would be speculative. I don't know what the connections between

1	CIA and the	military about those matters were.
2	Q	Who was the CIA liaison to Camp Detrick in
3	December of	1953?
4	A	I am not aware of their being any official liaison
5	for policy m	matters like this. I was not aware. There could
6	have been or	ne.
7	Q	Who dealt with the activity at Camp Detrick for the
8	CIA in Decem	ber of 1953?
9	Λ	That is what I am trying to answer accurately for
10	you. I don'	t know of anybody who was officially designated by
11	agreement by	both units.
12	Q	Whether official or not, Doctor?
13	A	I used to go up there myself to keep up with what
14	was happenir	ng and so did several others that worked with me.
15	Q	Who else besides you?
16	A	I think Dr. Lashbrook would go up there and Dr.
17	Bortner woul	Lđ.
18	Q	Was it when Dr. Triechler was with TSS?
19	Λ	In the period before he came up it was the three of
20	us and then	he certainly did have some contact with them when he
21	came in.	
22	Q	Did Dr. Chadwell ever discuss the offensive useful-
1	ness of LSD	with you?

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
9	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22

2

A Not to my remembrance.

Q Did anyone?

A I can't remember a specific instance of that kind of discussion taking place, but I don't want to say positively that it didn't, I just can't remember a discussion with individuals.

Q Aside from specific discussions, can you recall whether the topic was discussed at all?

A No, I need to have you define the context in which you are asking this. I said that the entire interest in LSD had to do with possible offensive use.

Q By the Agency?

A To my remembrance, during this period that was not at the top of the discussion that I remember. I can't remember if it didn't come up at some time. It would be logical. I am saying I can't remember a specific incident or a specific conversation or a person with whom that was talked about. It was not the main thrust of our interest in it.

o In 1953?

A Yes. Well, it was never the main thrust of our interest.

O The offensive usefulness of LSD was, however, one of

your interests in MKULTRA, was it not?

A Later on it became one but not the main thrust of our interest.

Q Did you take any actions further to that interest?

THE WITNESS: I need to discuss.

(Witness and counsel confer.)

MR. STRICKLAND: Would you repeat the question.

Our referring to the CIA's interest.

MR. TURNER: In the offensive usefulness of LSD.

MR. STRICKLAND: There is a potential problem with respect to foreign covert activity that might be arguable related to that question. If we can eliminate that possibility, I think Dr. Gottlieb can testify further.

(Witness and counsel confer.)

answer that is that I am not aware of anything we did during that period -- I have to ask you to repeat that same question.

(The pending question was read by the reporter.)

THE WITNESS: That any actions we took during the period you are talking about were all related to our concerns about its offensive use against us not our use against somebody else.

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
Series.	21
	22

BY MR. TURNER:

O This is the 1953 - 54 time frame?

A Talking about the period of the '50's?

Q You didn't answer my question, Doctor. You stated that everything you did related to defensive interests?

A I could answer it by saying, no. The question was, did we take any actions relating to an offensive use. And if you want yes or no answer to that, the answer is no.

Q The question was -- I will restate it so it is clear on the record. Did you take any actions during that period which related to the offensive usefulness of LSD to the CIA, not its offensive use, the offensive usefulness of LSD?

A The answer is no.

(Witness and counsel confer.)

Q During any time while you were at the CIA, did you take any actions relating to the offensive usefulness of LSD to the Agency?

MR. STRICKLAND: I would just want to interject at this point that question is very difficult to answer in that "offensive usefulness" has not been defined and you were also asking for Dr. Gottlieb to recall a time frame of 1952, to 1973, a period of 20 some years. Dr. Gottlieb is willing to answer

that question to the best of his ability, but it is a difficult question to answer when it is so general and so broad.

(Witness and counsel confer.)

A. The answer to the last question is yes.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q When?

A I can't specify the time period. It is not that precise. I would say generally it was in the 60's.

(Witness and counsel confer.)

THE WITNESS: I think you referred to, or you are certainly aware of, a report that has come up in previous testimony in other cases called the Gottlieb Report. And that question is taken up and discussed quite thoroughly there.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q What was the date of that report, Doctor?

A It was approximately '61 or '62. It was certainly brought up in both the Church hearings and the Kennedy hearings.

Q What was the subject matter of the report?

A It was a general treatment of the possible use by CIA in an offensive sense of biologically active materials in clandestine operations.

Q You prepared that report?

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

A Yes.

O Who was it sent to?

A It was sent to the then Deputy Director for Plans, Richard Bissel.

MR. TURNER: Mr. Strickland, in the last status hearing you represented that you preferred in the future to have formal requests made for productions. Is that correct?

which is not already part of the record or not reasonably included in some former request, I would say, yes. And the principal reason for that, as we explained it to the trial judge, was so that there doesn't get to be grave confusion over what exactly is at issue and over what plaintiffs need. That is why earlier I tried to clarify which ones of your letters piece together with specificity the entirety of what you wanted.

Now, if this document reasonably fits within, let us say, a request for production that the plaintiffs formerly made, tell me and that is quite sufficient.

MR. TURNER: It may, I would have to review the previous request. We will be requesting this document. I will put you on notice of that now.

MR. STRICKLAND: I am not familiar with the docu-

Take 2

m 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. TURNER: I am not making a representation at this point what it contains, I believe it is.

What I would suggest is there will, in all likelihood, be matters of both ilk, some are contained within previous requests and which are not.

I will write you a letter after the completion of this session specifying those which are contained within previous requests and we will file another request for production as to those which were not.

Is that acceptable?

MR. STRICKLAND: I think that is exactly what we were contemplating at the status hearing. I don't know, for instance, if the documents from the Canadian Government, for instance, at least we thought a new matter, so I think our understanding is barely accurate.

BY MR. TURNER:

- Q What was that report based on, Dr. Gottlieb?
- A It was based on a study that I made in the periods, '60, '61.
 - Q What did you study? (Witness conferring with counsel)
 - A It was based on mostly on interviews with Operations

2

5 6

7

8 9

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

Officers on their input into what the potential use of these materials in clandestine operations might be.

- Operations Officers includes CIA field people? Q
- That is what it means. A
- I wanted to clarify the term, sir. 0
- Yes.
- Prior to the Gottlieb Report, did you take any actions further to the CIA's interest in the offensive usefulness of LSD?
 - Not to my remembrance.
- After the Gottlieb Report, did you take any actions further to the CIA's interest in the offensive usefulness of LSD?
- The substance of that report was essentially negative, very negative. It included sort of an intelligence tool. It was inherently not effective and that there was a large disinclination on the part of the American intelligence officers to use it when that was probably a good thing. That is a preface.

My answer to your next questions gives me security problems.

(Witness conferring with counsel)

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

THE WITNESS: The answer is yes.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Coming forward in time after the Gottlieb Report, what actions did you take further to the Agency's interests in offensive usefulness of LSD?

MR. STRICKLAND: Could you possibly explain that question a little bit? I believe you asked what actions did you take to further CIA's interest?

MR. TURNER: Relating to --

MR. STRICKLAND: As a manager or as a researcher.

MR. TURNER New steps, Mr. Strickland.

He answered the question "yes". I am asking what steps he took.

THE WITNESS: We have a security problem.

MR. STRICKLAND: So your question is, what steps did he take?

MR. TURNER: Yes.

MR. STRICKLAND: There would be, as Dr. Gottlieb indicated, a security problem because it was directly a foreign covert intelligence operation.

MR. TURNER: Current operations.

MR. STRICKLAND: I wouldn't be prepared to say yes

or no.

MR. TURNER: You are directing him not to answer? MR. STRICKLAND: The Government is asserting a privilege based on the statutes and the Executive Order and we are directing him not to answer that question.

Colonel Edwards wrote and it stands on its own.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q After Dr. Olson's death, did you have occasion to discuss MKULTRA with Mr. Helms, Dr. Gottlieb?

A I can't remember that.

Q Did Mr. Helms tell you anything about the protection of subjects in future experiments after Dr. Olson's death?

A I don't have a memory of that.

 Ω Have you ever observed an individual experiencing an adverse reaction of any kind while under the influence of LSD?

MR. STRICKLAND: Would you define "adverse reaction" for the witness. You may be familiar with the medical literature on research and LSD. It has particular distress effects. Adverse effects can sometimes be in the eyes of the beholder.

Can you help the witness with what you mean by "adverse effects"?

In other words, the literature will reveal that most people experience hallucinations.

Is that an adverse effect?

MR. TURNER: I will rephrase the question.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Have you ever observed an individual experiencing distress while under the influence of LSD?

A I think my general answer to that would be yes, but I couldn't pin that down to a specific person or time.

Q Please relate what you can remember?

A That is what I say, I am having trouble with specific incidents.

Q Generally, Doctor?

A Well, what is in my mind now is a person experiences a set of discomforting bodily reactions such as tremors and shimmering and sort of what I call, for want of a better term, a certain restiveness and antsyness and all sorts of feelings that are not pleasant.

Q On how many occasions did you observe such a reaction on the part of an individual under the influence of LSD?

A I couldn't answer that with any accuracy. In the order or magnitude of two or three times.

Q Is that during the '50's?

A Yes.

Q Aside from the two or three instances referred to and aside from the Olson incident, have you ever observed an

individual experiencing any difficult after the immediate effects of receiving LSD had worn off?

A Could you say that, again, please?

MR. TURNER: Read back the question.

(The pending question was read by the reporter.)

(Witness conferring with counsel)

BY MR. TURNER:

Q As to those two or three incidents you referred to during the '50's, did you observe any difficulty after the immediate effects of the LSD had worn off in those instances?

A No.

Q So the Olson incident is the only one in which you observed someone experiencing difficulty after the effects of LSD?

A As long as my recollection now goes, that is true.

MR. STRICKLAND: We would object on the basis that that question presupposed that Olson experienced difficulties from the LSD sometime --

MR. TURNER: No, it didn't. All I said, he experienced difficulties after. I phrased the question quite carefully.

MR. LICHTMAN: It has been answered anyway.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q The last time you testified that you heard sometime in the late '50's about Mr. Blauer's death.

After hearing of that death, did you take any steps to protect the health and well being of experimental subjects in MKULTRA?

A Not to my recollection. I assume you mean as a result of that information?

Q Yes, sir.

Setting aside Mr. Blauer and the Olson incident, did you ever hear of any other death or injury to a person who had received LSD or any other hallucinogen as an experimental subject?

A That is a very broad question. I guess it includes did I read about anything?

O Yes, sir.

A The best I can answer that is that I have some vague memory, traces of some information way back, but I couldn't pin it down. So my answer has to be I might have.

- Q Would this have been in the '50's?
- A I think so.
- Q Again, setting aside Olson and Blauer, did you ever

BY MR. TURNER:

O You have read this?

A Yes.

Q Did you supply the drug requested in this letter, memorandum?

(Witness conferring with counsel)

THE WITNESS: Well, one little problem we are having,

I think I explained to you last time, the ARTICHOKE team was

not in the clandestine services, it was an Office of Security

mechanism at the time.

Relating to your question before about supplying clandestine services, there is a non sequitur there, if that is why you are showing us this.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q I call your attention to the first sentence of the document which says, "The ARTICHOKE Team, at the request of one of the Agency divisions, is going to (blank)."

My inference, correct me if I am wrong, when there is an excision, there is generally an overseas division and if it is an Agency division, it is usually an eumphemism of clandestine services.

MR. STRICKLAND: That would be an incorrect

assumption. The deletions on these documents could be made for any number of reasons, they could be, to observe a particular agency component (2) to represent non-acknowledged domestic CIA locations (3) to designate overseas locations (4) agency names or (5) cryptonyms representing any of the above four items.

BY MR. TURNER:

- O There is a question on the table.
 (Witness and counsel confer)
- A I just don't remember whether this was filled or not. If that was your question.
 - Q That was the question pending.

 Did you supply LSD to other components of the CIA?
 - A Other components of the CIA.

 (Witness and counsel confer)

THE WITNESS: I have been directed not to answer that.

MR. TURNER: Would you read back the question? (Record read)

MR. STRICKLAND: There will be a security objection to this question, other than what is already on the record that OTS was involved in LSD experiments and, of course, the

ARTICHOKE/BLUEBIRD Project may have been involved which was 1 part of the Office of Security. Other than those two there 2 will be an objection. 3 BY MR. TURNER: 4 Did you supply LSD to the Office of Security? 5 0 As I say, I just don't --6 A Not in this particular instance, Doctor, generally. 7 I just don't remember that. I will even be more 8 precise. I don't remember of any instance that TSD supplied 9 the Office of Security with LSD. 10 Did you supply the Office of Security with other 11 12 drugs? Not to my rememberance. 13 During the period from 1951 to 1957, did the Chemical 14 Branch of TSS conduct research to meet the operation needs of 15 the CIA vis-a-vis LSD and other drugs? 16 17 Yes. A Were you personally involved in those research 18 19 activities? 20 Yes. A Those activities included MKULTRA? 21 22 Yes. A

1 .	Q During the period from 1951 to 1957, did the
2	Chemical Branch conduct field experiments with LSD and other
3	drugs?
4	A I think I have been directed not to answer that.
5	MR. STRICKLAND: Could you define "field experiments"
6	for the witness?
7	(Witness and counsel confer)
8	MR. TURNER: Mark that.
9	(The document referred to was marked as Plaintiff's No. 10,
10	Gottlieb for identification, a copy of which is attached to
11	the court copy of this deposition.)
12	(Witness and counsel confer)
13	BY MR. TURNER:
14	Q I direct your attention to Plaintiff's Exhibit 10
15	of this deposition, field experimentation is referenced in
16	Paragraph 2(a) of the notes on ARTICHOKE Conferences which
17	you attended on 21 February 1952.
18	(Witness perusing document)
19	BY MR. TURNER:
20	Q I repeat my question.
21	Did the Chemical Branch conduct field experiments
22	with LSD and other drugs?

A As far as this memo is concerned, and its relation—ship to what you asked, I don't feel it is relevant. During this period, as I remember, all sorts of things were talked about and many or most not acted upon. So, the memo doesn't help me.

MR. STRICKLAND: Just to clarify the record, field experiments, I suppose, could include anything from safe houses in New York --

THE WITNESS: Anything outside of Washington, D. C.

MR. STRICKLAND: -- to covert operational use in some country "X".

Does your question include the full spectrum of possibilities?

MR. TURNER: Let's take it in pieces.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q As to the first part, domestic field experimentation, did the Chemical Branch conduct field experiments with LSD and other drugs?

A If by what you mean "field experimentations," experimentation outside of Washington, the answer is yes.

Q I will ask the same question with respect to activities outside the United States.

Did the Chemical Branch conduct field experiments with LSD and other drugs?

MR. STRICKLAND: We have to object to that on the basis of statute and executive order.

MR. TURNER: You are directing the witness not to answer that question?

MR. STRICKLAND: Yes.

MR. TURNER: Mark that.

(The document referred to was marked as Plaintiff's No. 11, Gottlieb for identification, a copy of which is attached to the court copy of this deposition.)

(Witness and counsel perusing document)
BY MR. TURNER:

Q Please go ahead and read it and I direct your attention to paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 to this deposition

What was the experimentation in the filed which was referred to in paragraph 7, Dr. Gottlieb?

A I don't have the slightest idea. I want to draw your attention to the fact that the grammar and syntax of that sentence is such that "experiment in the field" can be

interpreted in six or seven different ways: in the field of LSD, in the field of phychochemical research, in the field meaning of overseas area that CIA operates; it is a very ambiguous paragraph.

Q Dr. Gottlieb, were you personally involved in field experimentation with LSD?

A Again, I am troubled with the difference between this question and the last one.

Are you differentiating between training of personnel for field experimentation or something else?

Q Did you personally conduct field experiments with LSD?

MR. STRICKLAND: Is this question different from the one we had a few moments ago in which we had a problem with the term "field experimentation" and I believe you broke it up into domestic and overseas.

MR. TURNER: I am asking about Dr. Gottlieb's personal involvement. I will break it up into domestic and overseas.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q As to domestic field experimentation, were you personally involved?

A If by what you mean "field experimentations", is experiments that involve -- that are taking place outside of Washington, D. C., and if by my personal involvement, you mean, was I aware of them or did I have something to do with their instigation, the answer is yes.

Q As to the training of personnel in the domestic
United States for the conduct of field experimentation, were
you personally involved?

A I really couldn't answer that. I don't remember training people for any activity like that during that period.

The answer to your general question before that,

I tried to be precise and say yes, but I don't remember

training people during that period.

MR. TURNER: Let's take a five-minute break at this point.

(Brief recess)

22

BY MR. TURNER:

Q During the period from '51 to '57, did the Chemical Branch of TSS conduct or assist in interrogations?

A Not to my rememberance.

(Witness and counsel confer.)

THE WITNESS: I am assuming that you mean by interrogations that can be looked at in a general way and, of course,
the answer would be yes, when people ask other people things,
I think you are implying to operationally oriented or related
interrogations overseas.

BY MR. TURNER:

O Or in the United States?

A Not to my remembrance.

MR. TURNER: Mark that.

(The document referred to was marked as Plaintiff's No. 12, Gottlieb for identification, a copy of which is attached to the court copy of this deposition.)

(Witness and counsel perusing document.)

(Witness and counsel confer.)

THE WITNESS: This is an amazing document to me because it seems to imply I was interrogated and held in a cell-like room and I certainly have no remembrance of that kind of

experience.

2 3

1

BY MR. TURNER:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Dr. Gottlieb, it says, "The (blank) and (blank) were informed today by Dr. Sydney Gottlieb of TSS that when he interrogated (blank) in (blank)" that certainly does not read that you were interrogated by anyone?

Then I was reading it wrong. I was putting a "was" in there.

(Witness and counsel confer.)

BY MR. TURNER:

Dr. Gottlieb, were you personally involved in interrogations and the conduct of them?

MR. STRICKLAND: I think the document speaks for itself. Doctor, do you remember doing that?

THE WITNESS: Well, I am having a lot of trouble with the words "interrogation." I mean, in the first place, I honestly didn't remember the details of this, I just don't. As I read this, I am having another -- now it seems to me it says I interrogated somebody and it sounds to me I interrogated some people that had something to do with an operational interrogation. I am quite confused at this point. As I say, I don't have a clear remembrance of this incident but to the extent

that it indicates that I, myself, was involved in interrogations of an operational kind, I -- the whole thing confuses me. 2 In other words, what I am reading this to mean is that somehow 3 I reported some conditions around an interrogation to some-4 body else and this person is saying he is going to look into it. 5 6 BY MR. TURNER: I understand that. My question was, were you 7 personally involved during the period from 1951 to 1957 in con-8 9 ducting any interrogations? 10 This is without respect to LSD? 11 Without respect to LSD generally. 0 12 (Witness and counsel confer.) 13 THE WITNESS: The answer is yes. 14 BY MR. TURNER: 15 How many such interrogations did you conduct or 16 assist in during the 1951 to 1957 period? 17 I don't remember the answer to that question. A 18 Can you give us an estimate? 0 19 It was between one and five. A 20 Were these all overseas? 0 21 MR. STRICKLAND: Objection.

22

	-1

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Were any of these domestic?

MR. STRICKLAND: The same objection.

MR. TURNER: You are directing the witness not to answer?

MR. STRICKLAND: The objection was based on the statute protection of source and methods and the Executive Order on classification. As such we would be required to direct the witness not to answer.

MR. TURNER: You realize you have asserted that objection as to domestic interrogation?

THE WITNESS: Let me say something.

(Witness and counsel confer.)

MR. STRICKLAND: Yes on two bases. There are covert operational interrogations conducted in the United States in certain circumstances. In addition, the context of that question and the preceding question would almost with certainty end up revealing whether there had been foreign covert activity.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q During the period from '51 to '57, did the Chemical Branch use LSD or other drugs in interrogations or supply drugs to other components of the CIA for use in interrogations?

is-5 2 back? 3 4 5 LSD or other drugs to other components within the Agency? 7 MR. TURNER: Yes. 8 9 question the same question? 10 11 12 and '57 did the Chemical Branch use LSD or other drugs in 13 14 component question. 15 MR. TURNER: We will sever it. 16 BY MR. TURNER: 17 18 of TSS use LSD or other drugs in interrogations? 19 (Witness and counsel confer.) 20 MR. STRICKLAND: Objection. 21 MR. TURNER: State the basis and direct the witness 22

if you are going to direct him, please.

(Witness and counsel confer.) MR. STRICKLAND: Would you read the question (The reporter read the pending question.) MR. STRICKLAND: Am I correct that the previous question asked Dr. Gottlieb was whether Chemical Branch had supplied MR. STRICKLAND: Is this, the second half of this MR. TURNER: No. It goes to interrogation. MR. STRICKLAND: The first question is during '51 interrogation -- that is the first part of the question of the During the '51 to '57 period, did the Chemical Branch

MR. STRICKLAND: Security. I will direct the witness not to answer that question. As I explained earlier, the question is asked in a very general sense. There can be covert operational interrogations conducted within the United States. I had to make the objection because of the breadth of the question. Perhaps if you can restate it or if you have a document which explains what you mean better, I think we can be more helpful and the witness can answer the question.

BY MR. TURNER:

O This is a 1957 Inspector General's report on inspections of TSD. It was entered as Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 at the Helms' deposition. I read from page 200, the second page of the exhibit "In considering the objective, it is helpful to examine the operational problems that the program is designed to meet. One of the major problems is that of improper interrogation techniques. Many different methods are used to break down an individual's resistance in interrogation but there is also doubt about the accuracy and reliability of information obtained by classical methods of pressure, duress or torture. The use of drugs and psychochemicals in this respect is not new.

So called truth serums have been used sometimes successfully but more often not. The approach being taken by

the Chemical Division is to use psychochemicals to create within the individual a mental and emotional situation which will release him from the restraint of self control and induce him to reveal information willingly under a great manipulation."

End of quote, 200.

On page 201, I read the following: "Some concrete results have been achieved. Six specific products have been developed and are available for operational use. Three of them, P-1, C-1 and C-9 are discrediting and disabling materials which can be administered unwittingly and permit the exercise of a measure of control over the actions of the subject. These have been used in six different operations on a total of 33 subjects."

I will again state the question, during the period from '51 to 1957, did the Chemical Branch of TSS use LSD and other drugs in interrogations? Is that still objected to? I am trying to give you an opportunity to remove a frivolous objection on the table.

MR. STRICKLAND: The extract from the I.G. report basically states that certain drugs have been identified and that they have certain properties. I don't believe that the extract in any way says that the CIA has actually utilized

is

those drugs.

THE WITNESS: I didn't hear that either.

MR. TURNER: "These have been used in six different operations on a total of 33 subjects."

THE WITNESS: It doesn't say anything about interrogation.

MR. STRICKLAND: And the use of the terms operations there is not consistent with a covert operational use of the drug. I can't state what the I.G. meant by that, but I don't believe that document says they were used in covert interrogations.

(Witness and counsel confer.)

MR. STRICKLAND: Dr. Gottlieb mentioned, for clarification, and perhaps it is not accurate but what he could have
been referring to might have been the safe house use. The date
may be off, I don't know. But the use of the term "operational"
there does not go with covert foreign operational activities
and it doesn't at all speak of interrogations.

I suppose at this point I would also like to add that Dr. Gottlieb is both willing to sit here and answer the questions to the best of his ability. It is very difficult when questions are asked: Did TSS ever do something. If I were in his position I would have grave difficulty answering that

question simply from time passage and faulty memory. I would respectfully ask if we are going to inquire into a given area and there are documents that have been produced, that he be given a chance to look at them and then testify to any matter you feel appropriate.

I think that way will certainly speed up the procedure and we will probably end up with a more accurate and more complete answers.

MR. TURNER: I take it you are still objecting on security grounds to the question of whether the Chemical Branch of TSS used LSD or other drugs in interrogations.

MR. STRICKLAND: To the extent that includes covert operational use in their domestic or overseas, yes.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Dr. Gottlieb, did MKULTRA involve research intended to develop techniques of interrogation?

A To the best of my remembrance, MKULTRA initiated, supported and carried out research to shed light to provide information about interrogations. The reasons I am wording this carefully has to do with this offensive and defensive. We were certainly interested in what could be done with LSD in interrogation. Is that responsive to your question?

Q Did MKULTRA fund research to try to develop techniques of interrogation?

A I have trouble with the phrasing "develop techniques of interrogation." It examined techniques of interrogation. I am trying to go through my mind and think of a project we funded or developed or where we asked somebody, please develop some new methods of interrogation for us, we need to interrogate people so please do this. That was not a task, that was not the set of the experimental work that was set up.

I want to make clear, I am not talking about ARTI-CHOKE now. That is what ARTICHOKE was all about.

O What was your involvement with ARTICHOKE?

A I had a very peripheral involvement with ARTICHOKE.

As far as I remember, ARTICHOKE went out of existence some time
in '53 and '54.

MR. STRICKLAND: There are several documents that the government produced which, if my memory is not faulty, set forth with specificity the purposes of MKULTRA. I think Dr. Gottlieb is having --

THE WITNESS: You showed me one last time.

MR. STRICKLAND: I think Dr. Gottlieb was having trouble with some of the rephrasing. I am not sure if it is

-11

100 percent accurate or not. But I think the documents that we provided set forth with specificity the exact purposes.

THE WITNESS: The main point I was trying to make, we certainly were interested in the possibility of LSD being used in interrogations. What I am trying not to be inaccurate about is that we funded work to teach us how to interrogate people better.

BY MR. TURNER:

- O And you did that?
- A I don't remember doing that.
- Q CIA did not fund work?

The primary objective of developing new techniques for interrogation, we were trying to get information about -how can I say this better -- I am really not trying to confabulate the issue. I am trying to make it as clear as I know how.

It has to do with the difference between something I have always objected to, namely, that this whole program wanted to create a Manchurian Candidate. The program never did that. That was a fiction, as far as I am concerned, that Mr. Marks indulged in and this question you are asking has to do with that and this is a sensitive area in my mind.

Q Dr. Gottlieb, three of the six products identified

1 in the '57 Inspector General's report under the code name 2 cryptonyms, P-1, C-1, and C-9, what was P-1? 3 P-1 was LSD. As far as I remember. History is so A 4 full of cryptonyms and cod words I could be wrong on some of 5 them. 6 What was C-1? 7 I don't remember. I really don't. A C-9? 0 Nor C-9. A 10 What effects --11 Let me see if I can help you. C-9 may have been the A 12 acronym reading marijuana, tetrahydrocannabinol. 13 0 C-1? 14 I can't remember what C-l is. 15 Were all three of those materials psychochemicals? 16 Yes. I don't know since I can't remember what C-1 A 17 is, I can't help you with that but these two that I mentioned 18 would be considered in that class. 19 (Witness and counsel confer.) 20 BY MR. TURNER: 21 The '57 I.G. report referred to six operations on a 0 22 total of 33 subjects. What do you know of that?

whether that -- let me rephrase it. If you are asking me what those six operations were or who these individuals were, I can't help you, I don't remember that. I am even having trouble relating that generically to whether the inference is about overseas operations or about activities in this country in experimental setting, that is not clear to me now based on my recollections of events.

Q Were you involved in any of those six operations?

A I don't know. As I mentioned to Lee here, they may have referred to what went on in one of the safe houses, the one on the West Coast. That would not be incompatible with that.

(Witness and counsel confer.)

THE WITNESS: I don't remember what the New York was, operations in that size.

MR. STRICKLAND: Or Deep Creek Lodge.

THE WITNESS: It could have been, I don't remember.

BY MR. TURNER:

Ω When did you leave TSS?

A To the best of my remembrance, I effectively left it in about April to go into training.

Ω In 1957?

A

	1	A I suppose it was TSD.		
	2	Q Did you participate in interviews with the I.G.		
	3	representatives?		
	4	A I remember that inspection and I don't remember what		
	5	time of the year it was or to the degree in which I personally		
	6	was involved.		
	7	If that memorandum mentions the time of their inspec-		
	8	tion, that might be helpful.		
	9	Q The only date I have is '57.		
	10	A If it was before April I would have to have been		
	11	involved, if it was after April I may or may not. If it was		
	12	July or August I certainly was not.		
	13	Q The last time you declined to testify on security		
	14	grounds concerning Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 in this deposition which		
	15	contained a statement that you had been involved in a plan to place		
9	16	LSD in the drinking water of the speaker at a political		
	17	rally. Have you discussed that security claim with the CIA		
	18	lawyers?		
	19	A Not any more than I did at the time that we are		
	20	talking about.		
	21	MR. STRICKLAND: Do you have a copy of three?		
	22	THE WITNESS: I think his question was did we talk		

about it since the last time and the answer was no. (Witness and counsel perusing exhibit.) 2 MR. STRICKLAND: Is this document originated by the 3 CIA? MR. TURNER: Yes. Pursuant to my question. 5 MR. STRICKLAND: It may have been produced pursuant 6 to the FOI request. My question is who originated it? 7 MR. TURNER: It has ARTICHOKE/BLUEBIRD and markings 8 in the right-hand corner, if you will note. MR. STRICKLAND: It looks like they are talking about 10 the safe houses but I could be wrong. 11 THE WITNESS: I am confused. The question was, had 12 we talked about it since the last meeting, the answer is no. 13 (Witness and counsel conferring.) 14 THE WITNESS: The article deals with several matters, 15 MR. STRICKLAND: Right. I would suggest that perhaps 16 the best way to handle this is as follows: You have an out-17 standing request for classification review on all of the docu-18 ments, I believe, which have been marked as exhibits and that 19 will certainly be done, it is being undertaken right now. 20 MR. HERMES: Isn't there a specific request in your 21 latest set of interrogatories on that incident? 22

i

MR. TURNER: I can't remember.

MR. STRICKLAND: In summary, I think that is perhaps the best way to handle it. I certainly don't have authority to change any classification or withhold determinations on that document and Dr. Gottlieb has declined on advice of counsel to answer questions which would necessarily involve some of the retracted material. I think we would have to stand on that point until the declassification review is completed.

THE WITNESS: I need to know because I am confused. What are we being asked now.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Will you now testify as to whether you did in fact supply a staff officer with LSD to place in the drinking water of a speaker at a political rally?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection. I direct him not to answer pending a classification review.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q The last time you testified that you had used other pseudonyms besides Joseph Scheider but you were not permitted to answer as to the pseudonym Sherman R. Gifford. Have you discussed that security objection with the CIA lawyers?

A No, I have not.

 Ω Will you now testify as to the pseudonym Sherman R. Gifford?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection. I direct the witness not to answer, the same explanation applies. The matter is undergoing security review by CIA. As soon as that is complete we will be in a position to respond.

BY MR. TURNER:

O Dr. Gottlieb, during the 1951 to 1957 period, did the CIA attempt to find out whether there were techniques of producing retrograde amnesia?

A That was -- my remembrance of that area, that was one of the things that we talked about. I don't remember any specific projects or specific research we mounted in response to that question. That doesn't mean we didn't, I just don't remember that. What I am trying to say, it certainly was one of the things we were interested in. I can't relate it right now to a specific project.

Q Retrograde amnesia was, was it not, a matter of interest to MKULTRA?

A Yes, it was.

Q Were you personally involved in any such efforts to discover whether there were techniques?

- 1	
1	A You need to define. This has come up before. What
2	do you mean by personally involved. Being the Administrator
3	of the whole MKULTRA project, would that be a personal involve-
4	ment?
5	Q Whatever your involvement was, either administrator
6	researcher, supervisor or reviewer, overseer, supervisor,
7	in any capacity whatever, were you personally involved in
8	such efforts to discover whether there were techniques?
9	A In the sense that I answered you the last question
10	that we had an interest but I can't relate it specificlaly to a
11	project and the answer is yes.
12	Q What was your personal involvement?
13	A As the administrator of MKULTRA.
14	Q What techniques did you look at when attempting to
15	discover whether there were techniques of producing retrograde
16	amnesia?
17	A As I said, I can't remember that level of detail.
18	MR. TURNER: Mark that.
19	(The document referred to was marked for identification as
20	Plaintiff's No. 13, Gottlieb for identification, a copy of which i
21	attached to the court copy of
22	this deposition.)

BY MR. TURNER:

Q I ask you to read Exhibit 13, if you would and see if that doesn't aid your recollections?

(Witness and counsel perusing document.)

THE WITNESS: I understand what that says but it doesn't change my answer.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q I am trying to assist you.

A I understand that. How to answer, does that aid my recollection. I still don't remember being involved in discussions like this or translating what I answered in the positive before this specific action. I am reading this -- I , don't know what to say. You say does this aid my recollection, the answer is no.

Of two physical techniques which could aid in the production of retrograde amnesia. Would you please tell us what research efforts were undertaken subsequent to February 7, 1952, the date of this memorandum, that involve electroshock?

- A I don't remember that.
- Did the CIA ever use psychosurgery research projects?

 (Witness and counsel confer.)

THE WITNESS: My remembrance is that they did but

I can't remember what it was or when it was or with whom it was. 1 2 BY MR. TURNER: 3 Was that done through TSS, TSD? 0 As best as I can remember, yes. 5 Who was Wilder Penfield, Doctor? Wilder Penfield, as best I can remember, is a famed central nervous system researcher, I can't remember 7 whether he was located on the West Coast or where, his most noted achievement is making a map of the brain in terms of what 10 areas control what parts of the body. 11 You didn't know he was at McGill University? 12 Now that you mention it, yes, there is another 13 fellow at the West Coast that did a very similar thing and I 14 couldn't remember which place Wilder Penfield was. 15 I want to make it clear to be accurate, that I 16 didn't say that he was from McGill, you said that. I am saying 17 I couldn't swear now it is from McGill. 18 19 20 21

22

4

5

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

Q Dr. Gottlieb, during the period from '51 to '57, was the CIA interested in the possible applications of electroshock?

A I think so.

Q Did the CIA undertake any efforts further to that interest?

A Here, again, I have trouble remembering whether we just talked about, whether we actually funded some work that was going on in that area, I just couldn't remember that, how that was translated into the actual research program or where or when.

Q During the period from 1951 to 1957, was the CIA interested in prolonged drug induced sleep in its applications?

A My reactions to that is a little more esoteric in that I really don't remember that.

Q During the period from '51 to '57, was the CIA interested in the problem of brain washing?

A Yes.

Q Please tell us what you remember of that interest.

A I remember that the interest was stimulated by some questions that were difficult to answer by the behavior of returned Korean prisoners of war and returnees of Red

China and that we asked Dr. Harold Wolff and his group to undertake a comprehensive study of this question.

O When was that?

A I don't know exactly but it was in the period -if I had to make the best guess I could make was '54, '53,
'55, sometime like that.

Q What else do you remember of the CIA's interest in brainwashing, Doctor?

A Well, do you want me to persue the details of this project I mentioned because that is direction that my memory goes. I don't remember other projects.

O Fine.

A The Wolff Group either themselves or got the data from the military about these debriefings of Korean prisoners and they did some work in actually examining or interrogating, and I don't mean in the intelligence sense, but debriefing, the returnees from China and they came out with a report on this question.

As I remember it, it basically said that they felt that the techniques the Chinese and/or the Koreans used were not esoteric but didn't depend upon sophisticated techniques used in drugs and other more technical means, but they relied

on the more, non-technical things like isolation and indoctrination.

Q Who from CIA was involved in that Wolff project?

A Well, the people who were involved in the Society for the Study of Human Ecology, Colonel Monroe, certainly was, Gittinger was -- there were certainly others, I couldn't remember specifically who monitored and was assigned to that project. I had some contact with it.

Q Aside from the Wolff Project, can you tell us of any other activities undertaken by the CIA further to its interest in the problem of brain washing?

A There probably were some other activities. This one, the Cornell University Medical School was so much the focus and center, that I couldn't remember the others. There probably were others.

MR. TURNER: I would like to break for lunch now.

(Whereupon, at 12:30 p.m., the deposition in the above-entitled matter recessed, to reconvene at 1:30 p.m., the same day.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

(1:30 p.m.)

BY MR. TURNER:

Q When did the CIA first become involved with Drs. Wolff and Hinkle?

A As best I can remember, when you say CIA, I couldn't answer that because that predated my involvement with them, but it went back at least to 1952.

Q You say it predates your involvement; who was involved at the CIA?

A I think the ARTICHOKE, Morse Allen and Company had some contact with them.

Q What were the circumstances of the contact between the Office of Security People?

A I really don't know. They thought he had some expertise they could use. As a matter of fact, I am now recalling the sequence of events that started with Mr. Dulles' son being badly wounded and having part of his brain shot away during the Korean War and Dr. Wolff treated him and they got to know each other that way.

Q At his deposition, Mr. Gittinger remembered two cryptonyms in relation to the early Cornell work of Drs. Wolff

and Hinkle, the first was OVERSHOE, what do you know about that project?

A I just remember that it had a ST in front of it.

ST/OVERSHOE. In Agency parlance at that time, that was related to a Chinese activity. I imagine that in turn relates to an interest in Chinese handling of prisoners but more than that, I couldn't help you.

- Q Who was Desmond Fitzgerald?
- A He was the DDP.
- Q In the early '50's?

A In the early '50's, he wasn't. He was, as far as I remember, and I didn't know him then, his background was that of operations officer. He later became chief of the Far East Division and DDP.

- O He succeeded Mr. Helms?
- A I really don't remember that. I don't remember what the whole aspects of that sucession were.
 - Q Did Desmond Fitzgerald play any role in ST/OVERSHOE?
- A Again, for whatever help it is to you, he was probably the chaif of the FE Division.
 - Q FE?
 - A Far East and that is when the "ST" comes in. It

22

	1
New	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21

might have been a cryptonym for the information on brainwashing out of the Red China, in which case he would have had something to do with that. I don't remember what that entailed.

- Is he alive?
- No, he died. Λ
- How did he die?
- He was playing tennis at home. He died while he was playing tennis.
- The second cryptonym Mr. Gittinger recalled is OK/HILLTOP.

What do you know about that project?

- My remembrance of QK/HILLTOP is some sort of transitional name for the group of activities, part of which was later in MKULTRA. It came after ARTICHOKE and before MKULTRA. That is my remembrance of it.
- OVERSHOE was the Office of Security and Far East Division joint project?
- I am not sure. As I say, the ST would make it a Far East division.
 - That --0
 - And ARTICHOKE, that was Office of Security. A

Q QK/HILLTOP, what were the purposes served by that project?

A I have no remembrance of that at all. As I say, it was somewhere in between ARTICHOKE and MKULTRA and exactly what parts of each is covered, I don't remember at all: It might have described the early relationship with Dr. Wolff's group.

Q When did you become involved with the oversight or otherwise involved with the Wolff and Hinkle projects?

A I couldn't remember exactly, but I think it was some time in '52. It would have been '53.

O What was that involvement?

A Well, it was when the brainwashing study began to take shape and it was felt that in general the Office of Security was not really equipped by the background of the people in it to monitor that support of the project and TSD predecessor group was asked to look into taking it over and we finally did take over the monitoring responsibility of that work.

- Q When did you take over the monitoring responsibility?
- A I would say about '53.
- Q How did you monitor that project?

A Well, I couldn't remember. Certainly, I, myself, during that period, saw a lot of Dr. Wolff, getting it organized, and I couldn't remember who the specific project monitor was in terms of the person that was very specifically assigned to it. His name -- let me think for a minute.

Q Take your time.

A No, I just couldn't remember. What I am mixed up about is when Gittinger came to work for me and I know that was later than that, it was in '53, and later he and his group were the principles with Dr. Wolff when it started, I couldn't remember who that was.

Q The person who was responsible before Gittinger was that person located in New York or in Washington?

A Well, at first, the person was a member of Chemical Branch or Chemical Division and was located in Washington and later when the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology was organized, it might have been, but I don't remember specifically that Col. Monroe had that responsibility.

Q Was Col. Monroe an employee of the Division?

A In a sense he was. He was what we would call, and these terms get confusing, he was a contract agent. By that I mean he was undercover and he was -- he was not on a U.S.

salary payroll but had a contract arrangement with us. In fact, I couldn't even remember the administrative and fiscal aspects of that kind of arrangement. He basically worked full-time for the Chimical Branch under a project that had a name and was funded as a covert activity.

- Q Now, the relationship with Drs. Wolff and Hinkle led to the establishment of the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology; isn't that correct?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q What was the Society to do for the CIA?
- A The Society was to act in a security sense as a funding mechanism so that the involvement of CIA's organizational entity would not be apparent in projects that we were funding. And there were some projects that they did that we asked them to monitor for us and there were others, TSD officers' residents in Washington, would monitor and they would be generally aware of them.
- Q Who was in on the decision to establish the Society?
- A Well, certainly, Gittinger, and Goodenough and myself, Dr. Wolff, Dr. Hinkle, at least that number of people. And, then, of course, we had to get approval from

whoever our superiors were, so that after we formulated the arrangement in the project, we had to get that approval. A little higher ground.

Q So you would have had to go to the Chief of TSS or

A Gibbons and he would have had to have forwarded it to the DDP and if the amount of money was above a certain amount, it would have to go up to the DCI Office.

Q When was the Society established?

A I think it was established in '54 or '55. I may be off by a year or so.

- Q And the DDP during that period was Mr. Wistner?
- A I think so.
- Q And the DCIA was Mr. Dulles?
- A Yes, I think that is right.
- Q What was your personal role in creating the Society, Dr. Gottlieb?

A I think the idea came to me from somebody else,

I don't remember who, it could have been Gittinger,

Goodenough, it could have been the people at Cornell and

I agreed with the idea and translated it into a project that

was approved and funded.

MR. TURNER: Mark that.

(The document referred to was marked as Plaintiff's No. 14, Gottlieb for identification, a copy of which is attached to the court copy of this deposition.)

BY MR. TURNER:

Q I ask you to read the cover memo, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 14, and the attached description of the Society.

(Witness perusing document)

- A This is confirmation about what I said about Project QK/Hilltop, page 2.
- Q Directing your attention to the first page of Exhibit 14 in this deposition, that is your signature in the bottom right-hand corner?
 - A Yes, it is.
 - Q This memorandum was labled for the record. When was such memoranda filed?
- A I don't rightly remember where it was filed. I just don't remember. It was filed in one of the offices, as far as I can remember.
 - Q Are there any inaccuracies in the memorandum

appended?

A No, to the best, as I remember, it is in accordance with the facts as I remember them.

Q This document was also examined at Mr. Gittenger's deposition. At that time I believe he agreed that Dr. Wolff's and Dr. Hinkle's names and the Geschicwter Fund were incorrectly excised from this document. He made a renewed request.

MR. TURNER: I said that to inform Dr. Gottlieb there is no dispute as to the identity of the individuals.

MR. STRICKLAND: Yes. This document was probably originally sanitized in '78, '79, and since that time, these individuals have been officially acknowledged so Dr. Gottlieb is certainly free to answer any questions about those.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q There is a reference to the Geschicwter Fund for medical research, Geschicwter Fund, Geschicwter has been excised from the document, I believe there is no problem with that.

When was the Geschicwter Fund first contacted by the CIA?

A I would guess it was '53. It could have been

earlier.

- O What did that fund do?
- A Its purposes were very similar to the same I made about the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology.

 It was made as a mechanism to funnel funds for reasearch activities where CIA didn't want to acknowledge its specific identity as the grantor.
- O The covering memo on Exhibit 14 of this deposition states that one of the reasons for establishing Sub-Project 60 was to separate physically the Society from the present human ecology program at Cornell.

What do you know about that?

- A Just that at a certain point a need was perceived for a mechanism to fund projects and to keep in touch with a certain part of the scientific community that transcended both the interest and the capacity of the Cornell Project, the Cornell Project, then, had the title that was open title of the Human Ecology Study Program. That was basically the brain washing study and other studies that related to it and fed into it.
- Q How did you know that the need was now beyond the interest and capabilities of the Cornell people at that time?

A Well, I think this attached memo explains that quite well, points out that Dr. Wolff himself found his resources being stretched, his personal time, they found that not all of the things we became interested in really fit the Cornell University Medical School as a place to be -- have a foundation or a fund which would give money to other places.

The general reason was that the scope of the activity became too large for the rather small group at Cornell to handle.

Q Prior to the establishment of Sub-Project 60 and the separation of the Society from the Cornell Medical School campus, were projects at other places besides the Cornell Medical School funded through the Human Ecology Study Program?

A I think so. I think there were a few. And I think that is when it became apparent that wasn't a good way to proceed.

Q Did you have any discussions with either Dr. Hinkle or Dr. Hinsey?

A Hinsey, I think, was the president or chief officer of the Cornell University Medical School. And Hinkle was Wolff's assistant.

O Did you have any conversation with either of these

7 8

Directors?

A I don't remember his being active in that role. He might have but I don't remember his being involved.

- Q What was the role of Col. Monroe in the Society?
- A I think that Col. Monroe was interviewed and finally hired to become its executive director.

Let me refer to something in this memo.

- Q The Society made grants to researchers who had study areas of interest to the CIA; is that correct?
- A The Society would try to keep in touch with that part of the scientific research community which were active in areas that we were interested in and try to -- usually its mode was to find somebody that was working in an area in which we were interested and encourage him to continue in that area with some funding from us.
- Q Who decided which of those researchers should receive CIA support in the form of a society of grant?
- A It was recommended, first, by the Society people themselves and then it went to whoever the project monitor was, whether it was Gittinger or Goodenough or whoever, and then it came to me. If I approved of it, it went upstairs.
 - Q Mr. Goodenough, was he at some point case officer for

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
1)	22

the Society?

1

A He could have been.

Q You also indicated at the last deposition that Goodenough and Gittinger occasionally changed hats over who was in charge of the activities?

A That is accurate. Later on other psychologists became had of the behavioral activities branch.

Q Was the head of the behavioral activities branch, the individual responsible for the Society?

A Not necessarily. The point is there was one CIA officer responsible for the Society and that changed.

O That changed?

A We had a project monitor for Sub-Project 60 and there was always an individual who was monitor of that project and that individual changed from time to time.

Q What steps did you take to ensure, if any, that the subjects of research funded through the Society would be protected from avoidable injury?

A I think our assumption pretty consistently was that that was a responsibility of the investigator. We were dealing with a scientific researcher and on the basis of his own reputation, we went with his, whatever conditions were

set of guidelines or property call in that area. 2 What steps did Mr. Gittinger take to ensure that 3 subjects that were research funded through the Society would be 4 protected? 5 I am not sure he took any steps independent than 6 the ones that I mentioned. My answer would be, I assume, that 7 his -- what he did or didn't so is the same as what I said. 8 Do you know of any steps that he took? 10 A No. What steps did Col. Monroe take? 11 The same answer. 12 A You don't know that he took any? 13 0 14 No. A What steps, if any, did you take to ensure that 15 0 individuals who were used as experimental subjects in research 16 funded through the Society were informed of the nature of the 17 experiment, its purpose and any attendent risk? 18 I didn't take any steps aside from assuming that the 19 investigator involved was a reputable member of the scientific 20 community and was taking the steps that were appropriate at 21 22 the time.

appropriate under his research setup. We had no independent

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

Q How did you ascertain he was taking the steps that were appropriate at the time?

A I don't know of any specific activities that were generated towards that end.

Q What steps did Mr. Gittinger take, if any, to ensure that individuals who were subjects in experiments receiving funding from the Society were informed of the nature of the experiment, its purpose and any attendant risk?

- A I don't know.
- O Do you know of any he did take?
- A He may have taken some but I don't know.
- Q Do you know of any that he did take?
- A No.

Q What steps did Colonel Monroe take, if any, to ensure that individuals who were subjects of experimental research being funded by the Society were informed of the nature of the experiment, its purpose and the attendant risks?

- A The same answer as the one with Gittinger.
- Q Do you know of any steps that Colonel Monroe did take?
 - A No.
 - Q What were your instructions to those gentlemen

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
end mfm	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
4400	21
	22

regarding the information to be given subjects and experiments receiving funding from MKULTRA?

A I gave no instructions whatever.

MR. TURNER: Let's break for five minutes.

(Brief recess)

Yis take 5 BY MR. TURNER:

Q Dr. Gottlieb, when was the first CIA involvement with McGill University with the Allan Memorial Institute?

A I don't remember that.

(Witness and counsel conferring.)

would be appropriate to suggest to you, because it would certainly help me, help you, show me what documents you have that covers this area because I think I can say in a summary fashion. I remember so few details about this project, if you would look through one question like that after another, it is going to bring up a whole series that I don't remember, whereas you have some papers that stimulate my memory or give me a context, I believe I can be more helpful to you.

MR. TURNER: I prefer to go ahead and ask questions initially and obtain your recollections before.

THE WITNESS: Sure, it is up to you.

BY MR. TURNER:

What is the first involvement at McGill University with the Allan Memorial Institute that you do recall by the CIA?

The first thing I recall is hearing about the project

again on that ABC documentary, totally completely escapes my

mind, I just remember vaguely that it existed and no details -
I had no personal involvement exceptin a sign-off sense, never visited the place, never to my present remembrance discussed

the details of the project.

Q When did you personally become aware of Dr. Cameron's research?

A I can't remember.

Q Why was the CIA interested in the research Dr. Cameron was performing?

A The CIA, specifically in TSD and Project MKULTRA, was interested in anything which bore upon the question of the major changes in human behavior.

Q What were your responsibilities in relation to the grant made by the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology to Dr. Cameron?

A My responsibilities, as I remember them now, were to ensure that the work was relevant to the goals of Project

MKULTRA and to satisfy myself that the investigator was a serious and reputable one.

Q You stated that MKULTRA and the Society were interested in finding research on things which bore on the question of major changes in human behavior. Would you please explain how Dr. Cameron's research was funded by the Society within that

is-3

.3

П

broad category?

A Well, as I remember it, again, most of my remembrance comes from viewing this ABC documentary, he was interested in the effects of sensory deprivation, in electric shock.

I am not sure of this, but I believe in the use of psychotropic drugs or psychochemical. Each of these things bring about major behavioral change or could.

Q Why was the Agency interested in those techniques such as sensor deprivation, electric shock and psychotropic drugs which could cause major changes in human behavior?

A During that period we were trying to explain changes in behavior on the parts of returned prisoners of war, on the part of returned internees from Red China and some episodes of bizarre behavior on the part of American diplomats returning from the Iron Curtain, these and possibly other areas were candidates for explaining some of these behavioral changes.

Ω At his deposition Mr. Rhodes recalled a letter or memorandum discussing Dr. Cameron's research and the possibility of CIA interest in it, what do you know of that letter or memorandum?

A I don't remember it whatsoever -- I have no remembrance whatsoever.

Ois-6	1
	.3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22

Q Who evaluated the grant proposal?

A I don't remember that now.

Q On page 3 and 4 of the caption for the Society there is described a four phased procedure that Dr. Cameron proposed to refine upon receipt of funding from the Society. Did you read that?

Λ Yes.

Q It involves the use of particularly sensitive electric shock to "the pattern" individuals, the intensive repetition for 16 hours a day for six or seven days of prearranged verbal signals, partial sensory isolation and a period of continuous sleep to induce amnesia or depression, as phrased here.

Q What was your reaction at the time of this grant to that procedure which I have just summarized?

A I don't remember that now.

Q What is your reaction now?

A It is hard for me to answer. I am trying to read it and understand it.

Q Take your time.

A I remember what it says. You are asking me what my reaction is. My reaction, that it is an unusual piece of

research proposed, that I would assume that he had some psychotherapeutic goals in mind, he mentions that somewhere along the line and that it was meant for highly disturbed people, that he felt needed some extreme treatment.

Q Why was the Society interested in funding research involving such a procedure?

I can only speculate now. As I said several times,

I don't have a remembrance of what my own thought processes were

back then. He was talking about large changes in behavior and
that is an area that we were interested in.

Q Vis-a-vis the specific procedure that is set forth in the application?

A As I said, we were interested at that time in trying to rationalize some behavioral changes that we didn't understand and to the extent that the results of this kind of a treatment would create behavioral changes, they were candidates that seemingly this might have happened to the people we were interested in.

Ω So you were interested in trying to determine what had happened to brainwashing victims and this research bore upon that question?

A I mentioned brainwashing victims in the sense of

1.8-8

2.

1.3

people who seemed to have their very fundamental ideas in behavioral change come out of Korea, Red China, and some changes in behavior of diplomats that were returning from behind the Iron Curtain.

Q You mentioned earlier that one of the Chinese needs was isolation, what other methods?

A I am trying to recall the requirements of the Numan Ecology Study which I think as a summary came out quite a bit after this. We are talking about something that we drew conclusions about later. At the time there was an interest in this sort of thing there were no conclusions.

Q I think your chronology may be incorrect, sir. The study, I believe you are referring to, was eventually made public in Congressional testimony before the McClellan Committee which predated this application?

A It could be. I am speaking of some publication in the scientific literature that came out of the Cornell work that came out.

Q Regardless, what techniques other than sensory isolation or isolation were --

A As I say, I really don't remember the results of those with specificity.

is-9

102/25/1

O Did they involve writing things?

A The reason I am hesitating, my first answer is I don't remember. But I think there was something in Wolff's work or our own perception they often had to write long confessions. That is one of the techniques.

On page 5 of the application the following appears as an objective: "Can we develop better methods of inactivating a patient during the period of driving exposure to repetition, and at the same time maintain him at a higher level of activity, by physiological and chemical agents, than by the present physiological effects? Among the chemical agents which we propose to explore with respect to their capacity to produce inactivation are the following used either singly or in combination; artane, anectine, bulbocapnine, curare.

"We propose to use LSD-25 and other similar agents as a means of breaking down the ongoing patterns of behavior."

Do you recall having seen or knowing of the Cameron proposal to use such drugs?

- A No, I don't remember that now.
- Q What is artane, a-r-t-a-n-e.
- A I don't remember.
- Q Anectine?

is-10	1	A	I don't know.
*	2	Q	Bulbocapnine?
	3	A	I know it is a class of chemical substance called
	4	alkaloi	ds.
	5	Q	What are alkaloids?
	6	Λ	Alkaloids are nitrogenous salts that
	7	occur i	n nature.
	8	Q	What is their effect on the physiology if someone
	9	were to	receive them?
	10	А	They vary. They have different physiological
	11	effects	. That defintion refers to their chemical structure
	12	more th	an to their physiological effects. You almost always
	1.3		physiological effect.
	14	Q	Is paralysis an effect that some of them may have?
	15	А	Some of them, yes.
	16	Q	What is curare?
	17	A	It is a muscle poison. The best way I can explain
	18	it, as	I remember it. It can be used to paralyze muscles.
	19	Q	Was the CIA interested in curare?
)	20	Λ	Not particularly.
	21	Q	What about bulbocapnine?
À	22	A	The CIA wasn't interested in that whole range of
W	- 11	11	ALLO VALLE MONEY OF PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

drugs except the LSD is my remembrance. is-11 Did Mr. Gittinger discuss the Cameron application 2 with you? 3 I can't remember that. A 4 Did anyone? 5 I can't remember. I tell you one thing that would help me in answering your questions as accurately and as helpfully as I can, if you have a copy of a memo for the record for 8 that MKULTRA subproject. If I could see that. 9 I hand you two documents, Exhibit 5 in the Helms' 10 0 deposition and Exhibit 6 both dated February 26, 1957 and ask 11 12 you to read them. (Witness and counsel perusing documents.) 13 (Witness and counsel confer.) 14 15 BY MR. TURNER: You approved the grant to Dr. Cameron, didn't you, 16 17 Dr. Gottlieb? Yes, I was looking at this. 18 19 Is that not your signature? 20 Yes, that is my signature. Did the medical staff at the CIA review the Cameron 21 22 grant application? I don't remember that. A

12	1	Q	Did they review any MKULTRA grant application?
	2	A	Not that I remember.
	.3	Q	What was Robert Lashbrook's role in funding the
	4	Cameron gra	nt?
	5	Α	I don't remember that.
	6		MR. TURNER: Mark this.
	7		(The document referred to was
	8		marked for identification as Plaintiff's No. 15, Gottlieb for
	9		identification, a copy of which is attached to the court copy of
	10		this deposition.)
	11		BY MR. TURNER:
	12	Q	Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 for this deposition, that
	1.3	bears Dr. L	ashbrook's name?
	14	A	What I would imagine the date 26 June 1957 I was
	1.5	effectively	out of the job as Chief TSD. As I said I was in
	16	training for	an overseas job and chances are they had not
	17	appointed a	chief formerly, he was Acting.
	18	Q	Who of your superiors approved the funding of the
	19	grant to Dr	. Cameron?
	20	A	The last thing you showed me had Dr. Gibbons' signa
	21	ture on the	re, as I remember it.
	22	Q	Which of these two documents, 5 and 6, is the actua

2.

document that authorized the drawing of funds? I am trying to understand that.

A I really can't answer that. One goes to the Comptroller and the other is memorandum for our own records and they basically leave the information, the substantive information out to the Comptroller, essentially the same thing with all the substantive information. You know they are both signed by the same people.

Q So Dr. Gibbons was the only one of your superiors who approved that?

A Apparently.

Q In 1977 at the Kennedy Committee hearing you testified unequivocably that each of the projects funded under MKULTRA was the subject of at least two tiers of review. Why was the Cameron grant different?

A I might have either been talking about a period of time or an amount of funds. If I didn't qualify it that way, maybe I should have. I can't explain that to her than to say, I am a little surprised reading this now that there isn't another level of signature, butit might be if the thing exceeded \$50,000, for instance, there would have to be another signature.

Q But you cannot reconcile your testimony?

- 1		
1	A	Well, I might have made a mistake. I might have mis
2	recollected.	. My impression is there is still two tiers of
.3	approval.	
4	Q	What did you know of Dr. Cameron's work when you
.5	approved the	e grant?
h	Λ	I can't remember that now, but I would assume it is
7	what I heard	and read from the people that worked for me. I
8	did not have	e a lot or any independent knowledge of Dr. Cameron.
9	Q	Did anyone tell you that Dr. Cameron would subject
10	mental patie	ents to massive electric treatment which involved
11		ocks of high voltage after the initial convulsion was
12	induced?	
13		MR. STRICKLAND: Objection to form; answer.
14		THE WITNESS: I don't remember anybody telling me
1.5	that.	
16		BY MR. TURNER:
17	Q	Did you hear anything
18	A	Let me change that. I don't remember whether that -
19	Q	Do you remember hearing anything like that?
20	A	I just said I don't remember.
21		Did any one tell you anything about that after you
22	Q +b	
	approved the	e granc.

1 Not that I remember. Let me draw your attention to the fact that at 2 some period and it was shortly after this, I was not on the scene 3 so that may explain why I didn't hear m ore about these things, 4 5 why I can't remember pretty much anything of this project. 6 Did anyone tell you that Dr. Cameron would administer 7 LSD to mental patients and then interrogate them? 8 MR. STRICKLAND: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: No. 10 BY MR. TURNER: 11 Did you hear anything about that after you approved 12 the grant? 13 No, not to my remembrance. 14 Did anyone tell you that Dr. Cameron would employ 15 prolonged sensory deprivation on patients confining them to an 16 "isolation chamber" for periods of up to 35 days? 17 MR. STRICKLAND: Objection. The question is did 18 anybody tell me that, is that your question? 19 MR. TURNER: Yes. 20 THE WITNESS: Not that I remember. 21 BY MR. TURNER: 22 Did you hear anything of that? 0

1	A I heard about it when I reviewed the ABC documentary
2	that I mentioned.
3	Q Until that documentary you had heard nothing?
4	A I don't remember.
5	Ω Did anyone tell you that Dr. Cameron would continue
6	to administer drugs such as LSD and use the massive electro-
7	shock treatments I described until the mental patients were
.8	"depatterned"?
9	MR. STRICKLAND: Objection to form.
10	MR. LICHTMAN: I would like to understand the
11	nature of the objection.
12	MR. STRICKLAND: Form.
1,3	MR. LICHTMAN: You can ask was he informed of that
14	information. Was he told that?
15	MR. STRICKLAND: Is there anything on the records
16	which establishes that fact?
17	MR. LICHTMAN: It doesn't matter. The question is
18	was he told that.
19	MR. STRICKLAND: I am not trying to argue. I am
20	going to preserve the objection. I am going to preserve the
21	objection.
22	THE WITNESS: I don't remember being told that.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Did anyone tell you that when a subject has been "depatterned" his or her mental processes are severely impaired, that the subject is terribly confused and disorganized, and that the depatterning so affects the central nervous system that the subject is often unable to even control his or her body function?

- A I don't remember anybody telling me that.
- Q Did you hear anything about that after you approved the grant?
 - A No, or at least I can't remember hearing that.
- Q Did anyone tell you that Dr. Cameron would subject mental patients to psychic driving after they had been sufficiently depatterned?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember anybody telling me that.

MR. TURNER: As to that objection as to form that is included in the Cameron form application, Mr. Strickland.

MR. STRICKLAND: You have asked a whole series of questions which I think they presuppose facts not in evidence.

I cannot conduct a review of all the records which might have bee

introduced so far and the exhibits, so if I was incorrect in that last one I will apologize. I think the objections were preserved with a minimum of interruption to you and the witness was allowed to answer.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Did anyone tell you that psychic driving sessions would last from 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. and would consist of the continuously repeated playing of a statement from a loop tape recorder?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember anybody telling me that.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Did anyone tell you that those tapes would be played through speakers in the patient's pillows or through head-sets worn by the patient so they could not avoid hearing the message?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember anybody telling me that.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Did anyone tell you that over the usual 20-day

pshchic driving period a patient would be forced to listen to those statements some one-quarter to one-half million times?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember anybody telling me that.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Did anyone tell you that in some instances patients would be subjected to the psychic driving experiments which I have described for periods of up to 60 or 90 days?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember anybody telling me that.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Did anyone tell you that to ensure they would listen to the psychic driving statements, patients would receive paralytic injections of bees wax and curare?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember anybody telling me that.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q After you approved the grant, did you hear any of these facts about psychic driving?

1	Λ I did not.
2	Q Aside from the ABC documentary?
3	A I don't remember hearing that. As I mentioned to
4	you, shortly after that I left TSD and didn't come back for
5	about three or four years.
6	Q Did anyone tell you that Dr. Cameron would adminis-
7	ter a variety of drugs which would cause patients to sleep for
8	periods of up to 40 days in an effort to induce amnesia in thos
9	patients after they had been depatterned and then subjected to
10	psychic driving?
11	MR. STRICKLAND: Objection.
12	THE WITNESS: I don't remember being told that.
13	BY MR. TURNER:
14	Q Did you hear anything about that after you approved
15	the grant?
16	A Not that I remember.
17	Q What is the intelligence purpose served by testing
18	methods of inducing amnesia, Dr. Gottlieb?
19	A In the context of our research at that time, as I
20	said, we were interested in changes in human behavior that might
21	shed some light on these phenomenal brainwashing, fundamental
22	changes of attitude.

Isn't it true that the Office of Security and the 1 intelligence people were greatly interested in finding ways to 2 make CIA people forget secrets so if they were interrogated by 3 foreigners their secret would be safe? MR. STRICKLAND: Objection. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. 6 BY MR. TURNER: 7 Did you personally evaluate Cameron's experimental Q 8 protocols? 9 Probably not. A 10 Do you remember doing so? 11 Q 12 No. A Did Gittinger? 13 Q I don't know. 14 A 15 Did anyone? 0 I don't know. 16 A Did you determine whether the procedures he planned 17 0 to use would be likely to cause injury to the subjects? 18 19 I didn't, no. Λ 20 Did Gittinger? 0 21 I don't know. Λ Did anyone else? 2.2 0

1	A	I don't know.	
2	Q	Did you determine whether Cameron was going to	
.3	tell patien	ts and their families they were subjects in experi-	
4	mental proc	edures?	
5	A	Did I personally determine that, no.	
6	Q	Did Gittinger?	
1	Λ	I don't know.	
8	Q	Did anyone else?	
9	A	I don't know.	
10	Q	Did you determine whether Cameron was going to tell	
11	the patients and their families that those experiments were new		
12	and untested?		
13	A	I didn't know.	
14	Q	Did Gittinger?	
1.5	A	I don't know.	
16	Q	Did you instruct anyone to do that?	
17	A	I can't remember.	
18	Ω	Do you remember instructing anyone to do that?	
19	A	No.	
20	Q	Did you determine whether Cameron was going to	
21	1000	tients and their families that other accepted	
22	Cerr cue be		

therapeutic procedures were available for the treatment of men-2 tal illness? 3 A I didn't. 4 Did Mr. Gittinger? 0 I don't know whether he did or not. 6 Did you instruct anyone to do that? I can't remember whether I did. 8 Do you recall issuing such instructions? 9 No. 10 Did you determine whether or not Cameron was going 0 11 to tell the patients and their families that the experimental 12 procedures were unlikely to yield therapeutic benefits? 13 A Will you repeat that. 14 (The reporter read the pending question.) 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 BY MR. TURNER: 17 Did Gittinger? 18 I don't remember whether he did or not. I don't 19 know whether he did or not. 20 Do you recall issuing instructions to anyone to do 21 that? 22 I don't. A

Did you determine whether Cameron was going to tell 1 Q the patients and their families that the experimental proced-2 3 ures would be painful and distressing? 4 A No. 5 Did Gittinger? 0 6 Not to my knowledge. A 7 Did you instruct anyone to do that? 8 No. A 9 Did you determine whether Cameron was going to tell the patients and their families that the experimental procedures 10 includes the use of hazardous techniques that could cause 11 12 psychological injury of the subjects? 1.3 A No. 14 Did Gittinger? 0 15 Not to my knowledge. A 16 Did you issue such instructions to anyone? 0 17 Not to my remembrance. A 18 Did you determine whether Cameron was going to tell 19 the patients and their families that the experimental procedures 20 were being funded to advance nonmedical purposes? 21 I wasn't aware of that. A 22 They were being funded by the CIA, were they not? 0

A I don't read that they were supposed to yield an unmedical benefit.

Q Did you determine whether Cameron was going to tell the patients and their families that he was receiving money from someone who is not interested in the medical aspects of his treatment?

A That is not true. I tried to state as a preface to all of this that our mode of operation was to encourage people who were already doing research, for valid research purposes to continue them where we thought they would shed light on some questions where we were interested in and I don't -- I can't respond to that formulation of that point.

MR. STRICKLAND: That is exactly the reason that we would lodge the objection to the entire series of forgoing questions. They are often characterized as whether Dr. Cameron was going to advise patients and their families, and then there is a fact given, there has been no establishment of any of those facts and that is why Dr. Gottlieb is having problems.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Whether Cameron was going to tell the parents and their families that they were subject in experiments receiving money from the CIA front.

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection.

THE WITNESS: One of these things I read said that he didn't know. Said he was unwitting. So how could we tell patients he was not witting.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q So did you know he did not as a matter of fact do that?

- A I don't know. The question --
- Q The answer is, you don't know?
- A The question doesn't make sense to me. If Cameron didn't know he was getting money from CIΛ, how in the world could he have told his patient that?

m 1

2

1

.}

5

6

8

10

11

1,3

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

Q Precisely.

Did you take any steps at all to ensure that the experimental subjects of the Cameron Research at McGill University were told a full story about those experiments?

A Aside from the fact they were funded by CIA, we left that entirely up to Cameron.

Q Did you personally take any steps to ensure that experimental subjects at McGill University were told the full story of those experiments?

A The best answer I can give you is that we depended upon Cameron to take all of these steps.

Q In other words, Dr. Cameron had complete discretion as to what he would tell the patients in the experiments which were funded by the CIA; is that correct?

Money, and, yes, the principal investigator had complete discretion about how it was used.

(Witness and counsel confer)

MR. STRICKLAND: That may not have been responsive to your question.

Was your last question --

MR. TURNER: I will restate that.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

1.3

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

BY MR. TURNER:

It is correct, is it not, that Cameron had complete discretion as to what he would tell the patients in the experiments that were funded by the CIA?

(Witness and counsel confer)

- That is correct.
- Were the patients in fact at McGill told they were 0 the subject of experimental procedures, Dr. Gottlieb?
 - I don't know.
- Were they in fact told those experimental procedures were new and untested?
 - I don't know.
- Were they, in fact, told that other accepted therapeutic procedures were available for the treatment of mental illness?
 - I don't know if they were told that.
- Were they, in fact, told that experimental procedures were unlikely to yield therapeutic benefits?
 - I don't know what they were told.
- Were they in fact told that experimental procedures could be painful and distressing?
 - I don't know. A

1

4

5

7

...

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

2.2

MR. STRICKLAND: I think Dr. Gottlieb testified he had no contact, he doesn't know what he was told at all. So the question to no matter what you ask will be that he doesn't know.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q You were the man who approved the funding of these activities, weren't you?

A The activities took place after I left the outfit and I just don't know.

Q Who replaced you as chief?

A I don't remember that. There was a period of two or three years.

MR. STRICKLAND: It might have been Bortner? (Witness and counsel confer)

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Why was Canada chosen for the type of research funded by the Society grant to Dr. Cameron?

A I don't know the answer to that. My assumption is that McGill was chosen because that was where Dr. Cameron had his research activities. I don't know any other reason why it was chosen.

Q Were those brainwashing experiments conducted in

3

4

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

2.2

Canada because the Agency knew that conducting them in the United States was too dangerous as it would lead to tremendous public outcry if discovered?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember that conversation ever coming up in any form.

BY MR. TURNER:

- Q What did you at CIA tell the Canadian Government about it?
 - A I didn't tell them anything.
- Q You were using their citizens as guinea pigs. You didn't feel an obligation --

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection as to form. And you are badgering the witness.

THE WITNESS: I would like to state for the record that you are asking me a series of questions the answers to which I couldn't possibly know. As I said, I left the scene shortly after this was signed and you are asking me questions I don't know the answers to. You keep asking those questions.

MR. TURNER: Let's take a break.

(Brief recess)

.3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Dr. Gottlieb, patients who are confined to a mental institution sometimes exercise judgment that isn't fair as a consequence of their mental illness; isn't that correct?

A I don't know of any.

Q Patients in a mental hospital are less likely to question what the doctor does than patients who are in full control of their faculties; isn't that correct?

A Anything I would say on questions like that would be sheer speculation, I don't know that for a fact.

Q You are the man who approved the funding of experiments in a mental hospital.

what do you know about the capabilities of patients in mental hospitals who exercise judgment as to the care or lack of it that they will accept?

A I don't feel I am competent to answer that kind of a question.

Q Do you know anything of the capacities that people who have been confined to a mental hospital --

A I never worked in a mental hospital, I have never treated mental patients.

Q What supervision over Dr. Cameron did John Gittinger

17

19

21

20

22

exercise?

Didn't we deal with that question before? It seems 2 to me you asked me that once. I don't know. 3 (Witness and counsel confer) BY MR. TURNER: 5 Did Gittinger ask Cameron what measures would be 6 taken to safeguard the well being of experimental subjects? 7 8 I don't know. A Did you give him any instructions to do so? 0 For the period that this work was carried out, 10 I wasn't there so I couldn't possibly have done that. 11 When you approved the application --12 Q. I have no remembrance of anything like that. 13 You didn't feel you had any obligation to do so? 14 I don't remember whether I felt I had an obligation 15 16 to do so. Did Mr. Gittinger ask Cameron for reports on the 17 conditions of subjects while they were undergoing experimental 18 19 procedures? I wasn't present when they were undergoing the 20 21 procedures. Did you instruct Mr. Gittinger to request such 22

	1	reports?	
ý.)	2	A	I don't remember whether I did or not.
	3	Q	Do you recall doing it?
	4	A	I don't recall.
	5	Q	Have you been informed whether Mr. Gittinger asked
	6	Dr. Camero	on what measures he would take to safeguard the well
	7	being of e	experimental subjects?
	8	Λ	Would you put that in a time frame?
	9	Q	Ever?
	10	A	As I said, I wasn't there for a period of time.
	11	Q	That is why I rephrased the question in this form.
	12	A	Pardon?
	13	Ω	That is the
	14	A	I don't remember that.
	15	Q	Did Mr. Gittinger ask Dr. Cameron for reports on the
	16	condition	of experimental subject after they had completed that
	17	four-part	procedure that was included in his application?
	18	Λ	T was not in Washington, T had nothing to do with
	19	the project	ct at that point.
	20	Q	Do you know whether he did that?
	21	A	I have no idea.
	22	Q	Have you since learned whether he did that?
SALEN			

2

3

4
.5
6
7
8
9
10年
11
12
13
14
15 16 17 18
17
18
19
20
21
22
1

- A I have no information on that subject.
- Q Did you give him any instructions?
- A Are you saying beforehand?
- Q Before hand or --
- A It couldn't be afterhand because I wasn't there.
- Q Did you give him any instructions to do that?
- A Not that I can remember.
- Q Do you recall giving him any instructions to do that?
- A Not that I can remember.
- Q Did Mr. Gittinger ask Cameron for subsequent followup reports on the condition of experimental subjects?
- A I was not there. I had nothing to do with the project, I don't know.
 - Q Did you instruct him to do that?
- A To the extent that I was there and signed off on the project, I couldn't remember whether I instructed him to do that.
- Q Do you recall issuing instructions for him to do that?
 - A I have no recollection.
- Q Did you direct Mr. Gittinger to take any steps at all to assure the safety and well being of experimental subjects

		at Medili:
	2	A I don't have any remembrance of that.
	.3	Q You said you could not recall who the officer was
	4	who took over your responsibilities with respect to MKULTRA
	5	when you left TSD?
	6	Λ That is correct.
	7	Q You also said it could have been Bortner or
	8	Lashbrook, I think?
	9	A It could have been other people.
	10	Q Did you brief your successor in MKULTRA?
	11	A I don't remember that.
	12	Q Somebody took over MKULTRA, did they not?
	13	A I don't even remember that. I presume that they
	14	did.
	15	Q What discussions concerning MKULTRA did you have
	16	prior to your departure from TSD in 1957?
	17	A I don't remember discussions about MKULTRA in that
	18	context. I might add, if it were Lashbrook or Bortner that
	19	took that job over, it wouldn't have been necessary to do any
	20	briefing in the areas you are talking about.
	21	Q They knew everything you knew?
à	22	A Just about, sure. I think I will answer that question
		n vaccing and the second of th

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

22

yes, they knew everything I knew about it.

- What did you tell your successor, chief of the Chemical Division about the Cameron Project?
 - A I don't remember what I told him.
- What did you tell your successor at Chemical Division about supervising MKULTRA?
 - I don't have any remembrance of that conversation.
- What did you tell him about supervising the behavioral activities branch?
- A The same answer. I don't have any remembrance of a conversation like that.
- Q What did you tell him about supervising John Gittinger?
- I might say at this point that I don't remember anything about briefing any successor of mine about this whole area that you are talking about. I don't remember a conversation that ever took place.
- Did you tell anyone in TSD anything about supervising the Cameron Project prior to your departure in 1957?
 - I have no remembrance of that.
 - You returned to Washington in 1960, Dr. Gottlieb?
 - 1959. A

Q In April of 1960, Colonel Monroe wrote as Executive Director of the Human Ecology Fund that he was helping Cameron arrange Air Force funding for his research.

What do you know of that?

- A Nothing.
- Q What do you know of any funding other than the Society grant of Cameron by any agency, governmental, private?
 - A I have no information on that.
- Q Did you ever discuss the Society grant to Dr. Cameron with anyone at the CIA or at the Society?
 - A Not to my remembrance.

(Witness and counsel confer)

MR. LICHTMAN: I would like the record to note that counsel just initiated a colloquy and arguably assisted the witness in what to answer at this point. I think that is quite inappropriate.

MR. STRICKLAND: I was simply suggesting to Dr. Gottlieb that if the question was: Did you talk to anyone at the CIA at any time about Dr. Cameron's project he might want to make absolutely certain his answer was correct.

MR. LICHTMAN: I think one can ask his own questions and elicit his own answers and not coach the witness.

MR. STRICKLAND: I was hardly coaching when I said it outloud.

THE WITNESS: At any rate, it doesn't change my answer.

MR. STRICKLAND: I was only attempting to make certain the answer was complete, probably as you intended the question to be.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Did you ever discuss Dr. Cameron with anyone at CIA or at the Society?

A I have no remembrance of that.

what I am trying to say, in the contention of what was going on at the time, I might well have, but I have no remembrance of it. That is exactly what I mean.

- Q Did you ever discuss the subject matter of Dr. Cameron's work with anyone at the CIA or at the Society?
 - A Not to my remembrance.
 - Q Did you ever got to Montreal?
 - A I have been to Montreal, sure.
 - Q When?
- A To the best of my knowledge, in about 1971, it might have been '72, I took a bicycle trip to Montreal and

1
2
.3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
1.3
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

22

to Quebec and back.

Q That is the only time you have been to Montreal?

A To the best of my remembrance, that is true.

I certainly never went there in any connections with the project that you are talking about.

Q Outside of Montreal, have you ever been to Canada aside from the 1971 trip?

A Yes, in about 1972 or '73 I took a Canadian

Pacific train on a two-week trip across Canada from Quebec.

Q That is the only occasion besides the Montreal bicycle trip?

A I might have crossed into Canada at Windsor. We had some family at the University of Michigan, and I visited there many times and I remember crossing the border once or twice, but aside from that.

Q Never have you traveled to Canada in addition with your employment with the CIA?

A Not to my remembrance. I want to just check my memory on that.

Q Take your time.

A I think my answer is no.

Q Did you ever talk by telephone to anyone in Montreal

1	concerning the Cameron research?
2	A Not to my remembrance.
3	Q Did you ever talk to anyone in Montreal concerning
4	MKULTRA?
5	Λ Not to my remembrance.
6	Q Did you ever correspond with anyone in Montreal
7	concerning either MKULTRA or did Cameron's research?
8	A Not that I remember.
9	Q Did you ever have any conversation in person, by
10	telephone or any correspondence with Cameron?
1.1	A I didn't have any contact at all with him.
12	Q During the 1950s, did you attend a meeting of
13	provisional organizations such as the American Psychiatric
14	Association?
15	A I did not.
16	Q Any provisional organizations?
17	A Sure. I regularly attend meetings of the American
18	Chemical Society.
19	Q Any others?
20	A Not that I can remember. I may have attended some
21	other provisional meetings in that period but I couldn't
22	remember that.

2

3

5

6

8

19

22

Did you ever have any conversation in person, by telephone or conduct any correspondence with anyone associated with McGill University?

Not to my remembrance.

What do you know about any conversations or correspondence by any person connected to McGill University and anyone connected with the Society of the CIA?

Other than what I read to you this afternoon, I A don't have any remembrance of any others.

Did anyone tell you that Dr. Cameron left McGill University when more and more of his colleagues began to question the ethics and therapeutic validity of the experimental procedures he was conducting?

> MR. STRICKLAND: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: Nobody told me that to my remembrance. BY MR. TURNER:

Did anyone tell you that Dr. Cameron's successor as director of the Allen Memorial Institute ordered a follow-up study of the depatterning patients who had been subjected to those massive electroshock treatments that I described earlier?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection as to the form.

THE WITNESS: Nobody told me that that I remember.

1.3

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Did anyone tell you that that study found that 60 percent of his subjects reported memory losses of six menths in ten years?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Nobody told me that. I want to make a qualifier, some of those statements, or question or two before that did come out in that ABC documentary.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q At that time, when you saw the ABC documentary, did you feel any responsibility to help the subjects of the CIA funded experiments at McGill?

A I was so outraged at the format of the whole program, I didn't have room for those kinds of feelings. That is my remembrance of this.

O Do you feel any responsibility now?

A I feel I have been badgered so much in the last ten minutes that is what I am feeling now by questions that I told you time and again, I didn't have access to have answers to.

Q I will try to put it again. Consider Canadian citizens, Dr. Gottlieb, sought therapy and who instead were used as guinea pigs in the experiments I described who were

1

4 5

0

.

9

11

10

12

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

given massive electroshock treatments, LSD, psychic driving and sleep treatments, don't you think that those persons are entitled to some recompense for the injuries they suffered?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection as to form and objection asking for a legal conclusion.

I will direct the witness not to answer.

(Witness and counsel confer)

MR. TURNER: You directed him not to answer that?

MR. STRICKLAND: Yes.

MR. TURNER: I will restate it again.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Consider the Canadians who sought therapy who insisted they were used as guinea pigs in the experiments described in the Cameron application were given massive electro shock treatments, LSD, physhic driving and sleep treatment, do you feel any responsibility towards those individuals, Dr. Gottlieb?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection as to the form.

I find the question difficult, if not impossible to answer because it is undefined - legal, ethical responsibility.

MR. TURNER: I said nothing about legal ethics.

Do you feel any responsibility for those people?

THE WITNESS: I find it very difficult to answer that question.

MR. STRICKLAND: I know you didn't say anything about legal or ethical responsibility. My problem, as I stated, it was so open-ended it is impossible to answer, what kind of responsibility to you mean?

THE WITNESS: I said I find it very difficult to answer that question. And I would rather not comment further on it.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q From what I have shown you out of the documents today and what I have just stated, would you authorize that kind of an experimental program were you in a position to do so today?

A That states a hypothetical case that I don't want to I now state.

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection, the question calls for sheer speculation. The case is predicated on a factual situation that happened some years ago.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q There were grantees of the Society who knew they were receiving CIA funding, weren't there, Doctor?

0	1.	A I believe that is so. I can't mention it right now
	2	but that was probably true.
	3	Q Do you know who they are?
	4	A I couldn't think of those cases now.
	5	Q What Society grants were made to researchers other
	6	than Dr. Cameron at McGill University?
	7	A I will try to remember some but I am not
	8	MR. STRICKLAND: Could you repeat the question?
	9	(Record read)
	10	MR. TURNER: I will rephrase it.
9	11_	BY MR. TURNER:
	12	Q What Society grants were made to researchers who
	13	were employed by McGill University other than the Society
	14	grant made to Dr. Cameron?
	15	A I didn't understand the question. I am not aware
	16	of any at McGill to whom the Society
	17	Q Does a newsletter on trans-cultural psychiatry ring
	18	any bells?
	19	A It strikes a slight memory trace but I didn't asso-
9	20	ciate that with McGill. I remember that was a title of
	21	research grant but I didn't remember true, researchers
	22	were at McGill.
9		

What was the purpose of establishing that newsletter, 1 2 Doctor? I don't remember. Do you happen to have a MKULTRA 3 document on it and I can look at when it happened and I might 4 be able to help you more. Not with me. 6 Did the CIA have any connections or ties with the 7 World Psychiatric Association? 8 Not any ties that I remember being involved in or 9 that I had any knowledge of. 10 Did anyone tell you that many of the organizers of 11 the World Psychiatric Association were CIA funded researchers 12 like Dr. Cameron while others were brainwashing experts like 13 Dr. Willaim Sergeant of Great Britain? 14 MR. STRICKLAND: Objection as to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I was not aware of that. 16 17 BY MR. TURNER: Did the CIA send representatives to the meetings 18 of the World Psychiatric Association? 19 They might have but I don't remember anything about 20 that. I don't remember any TSD people being involved in that 21 22 activity.

	1	Q What do you know of Dr. Donald O. Hebb of McGill
	2	University?
	.3	A I recognize the name only as it comes to my mind
	4	as a neuropsychiatric researcher but I wouldn't be able to
	5	tell you before you told me that he was at McGill.
	6	Q What do you know of the sensory deprivation experi-
	7	mentation Dr. Hebb conducted?
	Я	A I am very hazy about that now that you mention it.
	9	I connect that I don't remember anything about it.
1	10	Q Did anyone tell you or did otherwise become aware
	11	of the fact that Dr. Hebb did extensive consulting for
)	12	Canadian intelligence during the '50's?
1	13	MR. STRICKLAND: Objection to form.
1	14	THE WITNESS: I was never aware of that.
	15	BY MR. TURNER:
	16	Q Did you know that Dr. Hebb was granted a CIA security
	17	clearance in 1964?
1	18	MR. STRICKLAND: Objection to form.
1	19	THE WITNESS: I didn't know that. I was not aware
1	20	of that.
	21	BY MR. TURNER:
Š	22	Q Do you know why Dr. Hebb was granted such a clearance

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: I do not know.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Why was there so much activity at McGill?

MR. STRICKLAND: Could you explain that?

THE WITNESS: That is a pretty general question.

Activity of what kind?

MR. TURNER: Connected with CIA?

THE WITNESS: CIA linked activity at McGill, I wasn't aware that there was so much. We talked about this MKULTRA project and you told me about Dr. Hebb. You are asking me why that was there, I don't have any more to impart to you than I have.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Wasn't it because Canadian and British intelligence agencies were also funding activities at McGill?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection.

THE WITNESS: What you are telling me now is news to me.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Did you ever have any conversation by phone or in person or any correspondence with any Canadian government

1	official?
2.	A Not to my remembrance.
3	Q Let me finish the question.
4	Concerning Society funded research or similar
5	activity?
6	A Not to my remembrance.
7	Q Concerning the Cameron subproject?
8	A The same answer, not to my remembrance.
9	Q Concerning MKULTRA project as distinct from the
10	Society?
11	A I never remember having any correspondence, contact
12	by person or in person or phone with any part of the Canadian
13	Government about anything.
14	Q Did you have any conversation in person or by phone
15	or correspondence with any British Government official con-
16	cerning Society funded research for similar activity?
17	A Not to my remembrance.
18	Q Concerning any other MKULTRA project?
19	A Not to my remembrance.
20	Q Were the Royal Canadian Mounted Police informed of
21	the Society grant to Dr. Cameron?
22	A I don't know.

1	Q Did you inform them?
2	A I didn't inform them, no.
3	Q Was Canadian intelligence informed of the Society
4	grant to Dr. Cameron?
5	(Witness and counsel confer)
6	MR. STRICKLAND: Dr. Gottlieb has responded to your
7	previous questions which generally inquired in contacts betwee
8	the United States and foreign governments.
9	This question, we interpret it as inquiring into
10	an intelligence liaison relationship between CIA and Canadian
11	intelligence as such the existence or non-existence of that
12	could not be acknowledged.
1.3	On that basis, we lodge an objection and direct the
14	witness not to answer.
15	I believe you can probably get the same information
16	just by asking the general question was there any contact
17	with the Canadian Government?
18	THE WITNESS: The answer is none of any kind that
19	I am aware of.
20	MR. STRICKLAND: With any branch of the Canadian
21	Government?
22	THE WITNESS: About these matters that I am aware.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	1.3
	14
end mfm	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Was the intelligence agency of any country informed other than the United States --

A I will assume that Mr. Strickland's objection would cover that.

MR. TURNER: You are objecting.

THE WITNESS: He is directing me not to answer.

MR. TURNER: I would like for him to do so.

MR. STRICKLAND: Yes, we would object on the same basis.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Was anyone connected in any fashion with the Canadian Government informed of the grant to Dr. Cameron?

A The answer is, not to my knowledge.

J/is Cake 7

Q Was our State Department informed of the grant to Dr. Cameron?

A I have no information of that. I just don't know.

Q Did you inform them?

A No.

Q Did you inform them of any MKULTRA Project?

A Not that I remember.

Q The Canadian Government in correspondence with our plaintiffs has stated that they first learned of the CIA's sponsored experiments at McGill when the disclosure occasioned by John Marks' FOIA release occurred. The Canadian Government also stated that U.S. authorities expressed deep regret that funding from official U.S. sources had taken place from 1958 to 1960 without informing the Canadian Government.

Do you regret the CIA sponsored the Cameron experiments at McGill?

MR. STRICKLAND: We object to form. I don't think you have an obligation to answer the question: Do you regret or do you think you were morally wrong or any similar question.

MR. TURNER: You direct him not to answer?

MR. STRICKLAND: Yes.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q How many subprojects were there in MKULTRA, Dr. Gottlieb?

question because MKULTRA Projects were numbered sequentially.

In other words the same project as it got renewed for several years would get a new number. The only thing I can answer that might be helpful, there were numbers up to 160 or so that I remember, maybe even higher, but I have no remembrance that even begins to be precise about what number of project that represents.

Q What portion of the projects included under MKULTRA involve CIA funding of outside research on LSD?

 Λ Anything I said now about that would be speculative. I don't know.

Q How many projects involve CIA funding of outside research on LSD?

A I just don't have a figure on it.

Q Did Robert Hyde of the Boston Psychopathic Hospital conduct LSD research for the CIA?

A Yes.

Q Was that research funded by MKULTRA?

i S- 3

A I think so.

Q Doctor, what time period was Dr. Hyde's research funded by CIA?

A To the best of my recollection, that was in the late 50's, middle of late 50's, I would guess 55. I could be wrong.

Q Who supervised that project?

A I can't remember.

Q Please describe the research Dr. Hyde conducted for the Agency?

A I can only describe the general, the most general description of it. They were associated with Harvard University and they did a series over several years of experiments on voluntary Harvard University students, on some volunteer staff members of Boston Psychopathic Hospital and they did some work on patients at the hospital towards the end of investigating the psycotherapeutic possibilities of LSD.

Q Dr. Hyde knew he was working with the CIA, didn't he?

A Yes, he did.

Q Did Harold Abramson at Mount Sinai Hospital and Columbia University conduct LSD research for the CIA?

-

.}

(Witness and counsel confer.)

THE WITNESS: What was the question?

(The reporter read the pending question.)

(Witness and counsel confer.)

(The reporter read the pending question.)

MR. STRICKLAND: The problem that we are having with the question is that some projects and researchers have not been acknowledged and are being protected in litigation at the present time. Dr. Gottlieb is uncertain what the constraints are and I certainly don't have the information in my fingertips. Do you have anything which can help us?

MR. TURNER: As to Abramson?

THE WITNESS: Or Hyde for that matter.

MR. STRICKLAND: You are aware of the Symms Case?

MR. TURNER: Yes.

MR. STRICKLAND: There are over 100 researchers and projects and I am uncertain as to the details of exposure. I believe Dr. Gottlieb would be hesitate to make an unauthorized disclousre. However, if you can help us we may be able to get us over a hurdle.

MR. TURNER: I am surprised that Abramson had occasion to raise such a problem. I don't even know if an

losaged

objection has been alleged.

MR. STRICKLAND: Abramson -- I am saying that I am uncertain and if you can help me, we can get off the hurdle.

THE WITNESS: The role of Dr. Abramson in the Olson matter has certainly been acknowledged but you are talking about another area now and I am not sure what has been done about that.

MR. STRICKLAND: The easiest way would be, you obviously reviewed a lot of the FOIA documents released for Marks, was it released in there?

MR. TURNER: No, I can't answer that, I don't know at this time.

MR. STRICKLAND: That is my problem, and I apologize.

MR. TURNER: I am going to ask the question, did Harold Abramson, of Mount Sinai Hospital and Columbia University conduct LSD research for CIA?

MR. STRICKLAND: I would tentatively object.

MR. TURNER: That question has been answered in the discussion of Dr. Olson in that Dr. Gottlieb stated that Olson was sent to Abramson because Abramson had conducted LSD research

THE WITNESS: I said that Abramson was one of the

most knowledgeable people we knew in the field of LSD and human service. I said nothing about what the CIA did or didn't sponsor in the way of research for him.

MR. STRICKLAND: That was my understanding.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Did Harold Abramson of the Mount Sinai Hospital and Columbia University conduct LSD research for the CIA?

MR. STRICKLAND: The government would lodge an objection, albeit tentative, on the basis of security considerations. I am uncertain whether information responsive to this question has been officially released by the Executive Branch. I will be glad to check this out for you and we can go from there.

MR. TURNER: You direct him not to answer?

MR. STRICKLAND: Yes.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q Did the CIA use the Josiah Macy Foundation as a funding conduit during the 1950's to support LSD and other research?

A I will raise the same question. I don't know whether that is public information.

(Witness and counsel confer.)

15-7

MR. STRICKLAND: We will raise the same tentative objection.

MR. LICHTMAN: If you will check further and let us know whether you will persist in this objection?

MR. STRICKLAND: You had indicated that the deposition will probably be continued for a third day. You understand, it is not a matter of persisting or interposing frivolous objection, it is simply, I wouldn't want Dr. Gottlieb to take a deposition in behalf of the government and inappropriately reveal something which the government is trying to protect in another case.

MR. LICHTMAN: Let us know at the outset of the third day.

MR. STRICKLAND: I will.

MR. TURNER: Can you do it by May 27 when the rest of this stuff comes over?

MR. STRICKLAND: I don't think that would be a major problem.

Abramson at Columbia and Mount Sinai --

MR. TURNER: I will not, at this point, go through all of the questions which relate to Dr. Abramson or the Josiah Macy Foundation but I will reserve the right to question on

those matters. 1 MR. STRICKLAND: That is very agreeable. 2 BY MR. TURNER: .3 Did Carl Pfeiffer of the University of Illinois 0 4 Medical School, Emory University and the Atlanta Federal Peni-5 tentiary conduct ISD research for the CTA? Yes. A 1 Was that research funded by MKULTRA? 8 Yes. Λ 9 Was that research later funded by MKSEARCH? 10 I really don't remember that. Those cryptonyms con-A 11 fuse me. 12 During what period of time did Dr. Pheiffer receive 1.3 money from the CIA? 14 I can only estimate, approximate it somewhere in the A 15 period of '53 and '54 and perhaps '62. 16 Who supervised that project? 17 I don't remember. 18 What were your responsibilities with relation to 19 Dr. Pfeiffer's project? 20 I was the Chief of the Chemical Division or Chemi-21 cal Branch and that was the name of the organization that as 22 such had overall responsibility for MKULTRA.

Q Please describe the research Dr. Feiffer conducted for the CIA?

A As I remember, he did several researches over the period of years. He had a group of voluntary prisoners at the Atlanta Penitentiary regarding the study of LSD and its affects on humans. He did some work with us looking into the properties and material called meretran, analeptic substances. He was involved also together with a chemist named -- I forget his name -- into looking at the physiological activity of several chemical compounds on animals. He did several other things, I don't remember them, but those are typical.

Q You stated that one of those projects involved research with volunteer prisoners. What is the basis for your statement that those prisoners were volunteers, Dr. Gottlieb?

A What is the basis for my statement they were volunteers, based on the protocol that I remember being presented to the project and some of the reports afterwards.

Q You examined the protocol in Dr. Pfeiffer's project?

A I either examined it myself or someone else did and told me about it.

Q Pfeiffer knew he was working for the CIA, didn't he?

is-10

1

3

4

)

6

7

8

.,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

A Yes, he did.

Q Did Harris Isbell of the National Institute of Mental Health in the Addicts Research Center in Lexington, Kentucky conduct LSD research for the CIA?

MR. STRICKLAND: May we interpose the same tentative objection and take the matter under advisement when we reconvene?

MR. TURNER: On the same basis as the other one?

MR. STRICKLAND. If you would please give me a call Monday if you have anything that comes to mind that would help me, I would appreciate it.

MR. TURNER: I can respond now. Dr. Isbell testified in 1975 about the research that was conducted with LSD at Lexington. If I can have a moment. He does have a CIA acknowledgement.

MR. STRICKLAND: I think that statement of Dr.

Isbell would indicate that matter has been acknowledged. Dr.

Gottlieb would be free to testify about that.

BY MR. TURNER:

The question pending -- I will restate. Did Harris
Tsbell of the National Institute of Mental Health and Addict
Research Center in Lexington, Kent ucky conduct LSD research

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

for the CIA?

- A Yes.
- Was that research funded by MKULTRA?

I am not sure. That was one government agency A to another and it may have had some other funding mechanism, it might have been a transfer of funds to NIMH. I don't remember whether it had a MK number. It would have been a different administrative arrangement. One being that the Bureau of NIMH could conceivably have its own reasons for doing this LSD research.

It was funded through the Chemical Division at TSD, was it not?

- I think so. A
- During what period of time? 0
- I can't remember that. The other testimony might help but I don't remember it being in -- but I remember it being in the late, middle late '50's.
 - Who supervised that project? Q
 - I think Dr. Bortner was the supervisor of it. A
 - Is he dead? 0
 - Yes. A
- What were your responsibilities with regard to that project?

A As Chief of the Chemical Branch or Chemical Division

I had overall responsibility for this area of research.

Q Please describe the research Dr. Isbell conducted for the CIA?

A He did several things for us. He, on volunteer subjects at the Narcotics Division Hospital at Lexington, he performed some of the early and basic work on the relationship between dose and response of LSD, in particular was interested and got useful data on effects called adaptation, when a person ingested LSD repeatedly, prepeatedly, two or three times, the effects diminished. So those were two of the principal areas.

He also did some work on inhibitors of LSD activity, other drugs that would terminate the actions of LSD.

Q You stated that those experiments were performed on volunteer subjects. What is the basis for your statement that the subjects were volunteers, Dr. Gottlieb?

A I, mysself, visited the hospital several times, talked with Dr. Isbell, witnesses some of these experiments.

Q When were those visits?

A As I say, the best I can locate them, is the middle 50's.

12

11

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Q How did Dr. Isbell obtain subjects for the experiments he was conducting?

A As far as I can remember, he put out a call for volunteers and there were certain rewards to volunteering. I really don't remember what those rewards were, or the inducement to become a volunteer, but I remember Dr. Isbell being particularly interested in the fact that these were so-called drug-wise subjects, subjects that were used to getting, ingesting drugs of various types and therefore being rather sophisticated about reporting their effects.

Q What was the population of the Addicts Research Center in Lexington composed of?

A I think they were people with a history of narcotics addiction and I don't remember whether they voluntarily committed themselves or were legally committed, were in there for rehabilitation purposes.

Q Did anyone ever tell you that Dr. Isbell offered those people who were in there for addiction rehabilitation narcotics in exchange for participating?

A Yes, I think I was aware of that at the time.

Q You also stated that Dr. Isbell conducted research on adaptation to LSD. Did you ever here that Dr. Isbell kept

seven men on LSD for 77 consecutive days?

- A I don't remember that particular fact.
- Q Is that consistent with the research he was conducting on the adaptation effects of LSD?

A I really can't answer that accurately. All I can say, it would be consistent with that research goal. You bring to mind another goal he had, I think he had some interest in the quantum effects of LSD, repeated ingestion. And whether those prolonged repeated administrations were in line of running this adaptation out to its ultimate end or whether he was interested in those experiments in the chronic effects of LSD, I am not sure.

Q How often did you visit the Addicts Research Center in Lexington?

- A I don't have a precise figure on that.
- Q The best of your recollection?
- A At least three or four times.
- Q Dr. Isbell knew he was working for the CIA, didn't he?
 - A Yes.

MR. TURNER: Why don't we take a five minute stretch?

(A brief recess was taken.)

MR. TURNER: Back on the record. 1 2 BY MR. TURNER: Did Louis Jocelyn Westof the University of Oklahoma 3 0 4 conduct LSD research for the CIA? I would have to ask that same question. 5 have a good memory. The name is familiar. If he did and if I 6 7 knew about it, shouldn't you look into it? 8 MR. TURNER: You are entering an objection? We 9 have agreed to determine whether you will permit Dr. Gottlich 10 to testify on questions as to Dr. West. MR. STRICKLAND: We would treat it the same way we 11 12 would treat the questions about Abramson and Josiah Macy Foun-13 dation and now Dr. West at the University of Oklahoma. 14 Did Harold Hodge of the University of Rochester 15 conduct LSD research for the CIA? 16 I think you have to treat it the same way. A 17 MR. STRICKLAND: The same basis. 18 MR. TURNER: So there would be no mistake on the 19 record, I do have additional questions on those researchers. 20 MR. STRICKLAND: Understood. 21 MR. TURNER: No waiver as to additional questions. 22

1	BY MR. TURNER:
2	Q Did Dr. Burch of Baylor University conduct LSD
.3	research for the CIA?
4	A I have no remembrance of that.
5	MR. STRICKLAND: The same tentative objection,
6	the same basis as the previous onc.
7	THE WITNESS: Even if you acknowledge it, I couldn't
8	tell him anything about it. I don't remember this one.
9	MR. TURNER: You will tell us whether Burch's affil-
10	iation or nonaffiliation with the Agency is the subject of ack-
11	nowledgement, however?
12	MR. STRICKLAND: Yes.
1.3	(Witness and counsel confer.)
14	BY MR. TURNER:
15	Q At our last session you mentioned a Dr. Cook as a
16	researcher who worked with MKULTRA. Did Dr. Cook conduct
17	research for the CIA?
18	A Yes. Although I mention that, I need to check on
19	that. He is in the same category as everybody else.
20	MR. STRICKLAND: Is that C-o-o-k at what univer-
21	sity?
22	MR. TURNER: I don't have an institution.

MR. STRICKLAND: Do you remember where he mentioned 1 2 it in his deposition? 3 BY MR. TURNER: At that last session you also mentioned a Dr. 4 5 Himwich, I believe, H-i-m-w-i-c-h. The same thing. You have already testified he conducted research with Meretran for the 6 7 CIA? I mentioned that before in addition with Pfeiffer. 8 1) Meretran is an analeptic which is a stimulant, one of those 10 things that keeps you awake and charges you up. 11 What was the CIA's interest in that? 12 The same general interest we had in the materials 13 and techniques which could create major changes in human behavior 14 Will you explain how that fits into that concern 15 with major changes in human behavior? 16 Sometimes people are kept awake as a pressure 17 mechanism. 18 In interrogation? 19 Interrogations or in attempts to influence them. 20 During what period did Dr. Himwich conduct that 21 research? 22 I would like to wait and hear a determination as to A

whether the CIA is officially acknowledging that research.

MR. LICHTMAN: You already gave substantial testi-

MR. STRICKLAND: If you could help the doctor by indicating what exactly he testified to instead of terms of substantial.

MR. LICHTMAN: He said three things, he said he worked with us, he worked in a mental institution, he worked in Meretran. Having said that, I think you could answer the rest of the question.

MR. TURNER: This one is a slightly different posture. There has been testimony.

MR. STRICKLAND: That is my problem. You say there has been testimony. I don't specifically recall it. I am not saying there wasn't. I am simply --

THE WITNESS: I saw it here when I proofread it.

MR. TURNER: We will defer on this one but I do emphasize that this is under considerably different circumstances and I will come back to this topic regardless of the Agency's statement as to its current willingness to acknowledge the connection with Dr. Himwich.

MR. STRICKLAND: I understand. I understand your

position that you feel there is a factual difference here. I would only note--and you do have a right to come back --I would only note that even if Dr. Gottlieb inadvertently and by accident revealed information which is still properly protected, that that does not in any way constitute a waiver or declassification of that information.

So I think the easiest way to handle all of this is, we will take it under advisement and when we will reconvene we will advise you of its provision and we will go from there.

BY MR. TURNER:

Q At that last session you also mentioned certain research conducted for the CIA in Detroit or Wayne County. Who conducted that research?

A I said that I didn't remember and I don't remember now.

- Q That has not come to you between the two depositions?
- A No, it has not.
- Q During what period did the research at Detroit receive CIA funding?
 - A I don't remember that.
 - Q Can you give us an approximation, Doctor?
 - A 1953 to 1960.

1	Q	Please describe that research in Detroit?			
2	Λ	I don't remember.			
3	Q	Was it funded by MKULTRA?			
4	Α	I don't remember that. I don't remember whether			
5	it predated	or postdated it.			
6		MR. STRICKLAND: Dr. Gottlieb testified to these			
7	matters wh	en Mr. Rauh was asking him to try to recall any pos-			
8	sible MKULT	RA project. On page 143 of his deposition, his pre-			
9	vious deposition, he testified that there was a project there,				
10	a man in De	troit connected with some mental institution in			
11	Wayne County to study LSD.				
12	ř	MR. TURNER: I know that is there. I am trying to			
13	find out wh	at else Dr. Gottlieb recollects about it.			
14		BY MR. TURNER:			
15	Q	You testified before that it involves LSD. What			
16	was the inv	olvement with LSD, Dr. Gottlieb?			
17	A	I don't remember.			
18	Q	Who was responsible for that project?			
19	A	I don't remember that either.			
20	Q	Did those researchers know they were working for the			
21	CIA?				
22	A	I don't have a remembrance of that.			

22

You knew a George White while you were at the CIA,

Yes, I did.

Is that an alias? He had an alias, did he not?

What was it?

Morgan Hall.

What were his functions?

He went through a period where he was a consultant to us and talked to him about psychogenic drugs because he had some experience with a drug that I spelled for you, tetrahydro . an active ingredient in marijuana and then we had an arrangement with him where he administered LSD for purposes of the Bureau of Narcotics getting information from informants and would report to us on what the results of those

When did he become a consultant to the Agency?

Approximately in 1952, 1953.

When did he begin to conduct the LSD test that he reported on to the Agency?

Approximately 1954 or thereabouts, I don't remember

1 the exact dates. Those tests were funded by MKULTRA, weren't they? 3 When you say funded, we never -- we supported the facilities and provided the LSD. 5 And that was through MKULTRA, was it not? I think so. How long did that relationship with Mr. White 8 continue? I am not sure, but it continued for three or four A 10 I am not sure what the precise length of that term was. 11 Who supervised Mr. White on behalf of the CIA? 12 There is some confusion in my mind about that. My A 13 remembrance is that Dr. Lashbrook was the regular link advisor 14 to White, but I saw him from time to time also. 15 When did you first become involved with Mr. White? 16 I said 1952. 17 You personally? 18 1952. I think that was the question you asked Λ 19 I was the one who made the original contact, had the 20 original conversation with him. 21 At CIA? You were the original person from CIA who 22 made contact with Mr. White?

m 1

,3

1

2

4

6

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

Q Did you tell him you were going to be -- that you condoned drug testing at the facilities that you were supporting for Mr. White?

A As I say, I couldn't remember what level of detail.

I don't remember whether I told him that or not.

Q The CIA paid for the safe houses in New York City, San Francisco and Marin County, didn't it?

A The one at Marin County, I couldn't attest to it.

Certainly the ones in New York and San Francisco I have personal knowledge of, but the Marin County, I heard about, I was overseas when it took place.

- Q Did you ever visit the safe house in New York City?
- A Yes.
- Q Please describe it.

A I believe it was somewhere near 6th Avenue downtown Manhattan area, called the Avenue of the Americas. It was a small three-room apartment, about a 5 to 8 story apartment house, and it was equipped with one way mirror so that things going on in the one side of the mirror could be viewed from the other side.

Q The one way mirror was not in the house at the time it was -- the apartment at the time, it was leased, was it?

	1	A (I think it was installed afterwards.
	2	Q By whom?
	3	A I don't remember that.
	4	Q bid the Agency pay for it?
	5	A I think so.
	6	Q What do you know of the activities by Mr. White in
	7	that New York safe house?
	8	A I don't know anything about that and I don't know
	9	whether in fact how many times you ran these ministrations.
	10	I know more about the West Coast.
	11	Q Apart from the activities of Mr. White in the
	12	New York City safe house in addition with LSD, what do you know
	1.3	of the activities conducted by officials
	14	A I don't recall anything about other activities
	15	located there. I don't recall other use made of that safe house
	16	with the Bureau of Narcotics.
	17	Q Aside from the activities of Mr. White, what do you
	18	know of any activities in the New York safe house involving
	19	LSD?
	20	A That is different than the last question. My
	21	answer is the same as the last question, I am not aware of
	22	other activities involving LSD.
(4)(3)*		

Q What was the occasion of your visit to the New York safe house?

A I don't remember that specifically. Generally it had to do with looking at it since we were paying for it; it was an unusual activity and I wanted some first-hand information on what the money was being spent for.

Q You authorized other payments to this activity, did you not?

A Yes. Now, there is some inaccuracy in here because the impression that White was related to this -- we are really talking about something that happened when White was on the West Coast and there was another supervisor whose name I don't remember, that Dr. Triechler mostly dealt with. And White -- at least the safe house I am thinking about -- White had little or nothing to do with. And I don't know whether it was ever used for any LSD work.

It was at a period it may overlap with the West Coast safe house. It was at a later period.

Q This is terribly confusing.

A I met White when he was involved in New York. Soon after that he was transferred at the time after that to the West Coast and I continued our relationship with him there.

2 .3

5

7

4

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Sometime after that, the safehouse I am talking about in New York was set up by Triechler and a narcotics person in New York. However much that helps you. I, myself, am confused about the time elements, but that is the best I can lay it out.

I am trying to be as helpful as I can. I have a slight memory of some ad hoc arrangements that White made in New York before he left. He may have used a place that he rented temporarily and set up and then they were abandoned or that was terminated, that arrangement, when he moved to the West Coast. And, as I say, there may be two things we are talking about in New York, one run by White for a very short time before he left there and another one later.

As far as making a facility available to the Bureau of Narcotics by CIA, the one I was talking about first related to the latter arrangement which went on for a year or two. And I am not aware of any activity that took place in that.

- Dr. Triechler set up that later safe house you just indicated?
 - Yes, with another, not Mr. White.
 - Who was the other supervisor? 0
 - I don't remember his name. A

1	Q When did White leave New York City?
2	A Approximately '54, thereabouts, '53.
3	Q What were the circumstances of his departure?
4	A I think he was transferred.
.5	Q What is the basis of that statement?
6	Λ I don't know.
7	Q What do you know of any activity vis-a-vis LSD or
Я	other drugs conducted by Mr. White prior to his departure from
9	New York City?
10	A I have a memory that he might have administered LSD
11	to his own contacts and informants several times, by that I
12	mean four or five times before he left New York City.
1.3	Q Did he report to you on those, or someone at CIA, on
14	those incidents?
15	A In an informal way, yes.
16	Q What do you mean by "informal"?
17	A He verbally passed them on to somebody and I became
18	aware of him he might have told me about one or two directly
19	but that is what my remembrance is.
20	Q It was not committed to writing?
21	A No, as far as I remember.
22	Q What did he tell you about those five or six
	incidents?

A I couldn't remember whether he told me directly but by and large they involve sort of one-time administration of LSD and talking about persons getting disoriented and most of them involve kind of a high experience.

Q Was a doctor present?

A The circumstances of all of Mr. White's work on LSD precluded the attendance of a doctor.

Q So as to those five or six incidents, the answer is no?

A No, as far as I know.

Q What do you mean the circumstances of Mr. White's work precluded the attendance of a doctor?

A I meant he wasn't conducting experiments in the conventional sense of a control experiment. He was administering LSD for operational purposes and his Bureau of Narcotics work. He was contacting informants, he was making buys of drugs and whatever it is the Bureau of Narcotics people did operational at that time.

Q Still directing your attention to New York, to those five or six times, did Mr. White tell the individuals receiving LSD that they were going to receive a drug?

A Certainly not.

Q Those individuals were unwitting participants for the administration of the LSD?

A That is correct.

Q Where were the five or six unwitting LSD tests by Mr. White in New York City conducted?

A I don't remember that. As I said, I have only a vague remembrance whether in fact he set up the facilities. That is my remembrance that he dismantled and moved to the West Coast.

I assume that took place wherever that facility was.

- Q The detailed description of the three-room apartment?
- A That is the one that Dr. Triechler set up.
- Q What was the purpose of that later second safe house?

A My remembrance is that it was for any similar activities if they came up, if the opportunities presented themselves, that is my general remembrance. As I said, I don't remember any specific drug administration that took place there.

- Q Was that funded by MKULTRA?
- A You are talking about the second one?
- O The second one.

	1	A I presume that. The first one in New York that
	2	I talked about may have predated MKULTRA.
	3	Q Mr. White's point of contact with the CIA for his
	4	entire connections with the Agency was through the Chemical
	5	Division in TSS; is that correct?
	6	λ Yes.
	7	Q Either you or Mr. Lashbrook?
	8	A Formally it was Lashbrook.
	9	Q Moving to the San Francisco safe house, you stated
	10	that you had greater knowledge of that.
	11	Would you, please, tell us about it?
	12	A Well, it is just that that lasted for a longer number
	13	of years. As I remember, that was for three or four years and
	14	there were more drug administrations made there.
	15	Let me ask for some advice here.
	16	(Witness and counsel confer)
	17	BY MR. TURNER:
	18	Q You were in the midst of telling us about the San
	19	Francisco safe house activities?
	20	Λ I need to be reminded what the last question was.
	21	Q Will you, please, describe the San Francisco safe
	22	house activity?

1	A It was sort of a three-room arrangement with a one-
2	way mirror between two of the rooms and it was a place where
.3	White would administer LSD to potential informants or drug
4	contacts.
5	Q Was the room equipped for electronic surveillance?
6	A I don't remember that, although it may well have
7	been.
8	Q Photographic surveillance?
9	A Yes. I have a remembrance of that being true.
10	Q Still pictures rather than movies or both?
11	A I don't remember the details.
12	Q You stated that White went to San Francisco around
1.3	'54. This safehouse out there was established upon his arrival
14	or shortly thereafter?
15	A Yes.
16	Q How long did that activity continue?
17	A As I said, I think it was for about three or four
18	years.
19	Q That would have been '58?
20	A Something like that. I am hazy on those dates.
21	Q What do you know of Mr. White's activities in
22	relation to that safe house?

1	
2)
.3	1
4	
.5	
6	
7	
8	
9)
10)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	,
16)
17	
18	
19)
20)
21	
22	

A He said, he used it to administer LSD to potential drug informants and other drug contacts, contacts related to his work in the Bureau of Narcotics.

Q What was the purpose of administering LSD to those individuals?

A I am not sure I know what all of his purposes were, but they were generally to get information to facilitating drug buys and that sort of thing.

Q Did the CIA provide LSD to that San Francisco safe house also?

A Yes.

Q How does administering LSD to someone assist in getting information from them?

A That is what he was trying to find out for himself and that was information that we were interested in. I think our final conclusion that it was a very variable thing and very situation dependent and was in fact not a reliable way to get information.

Q Was LSD used as an adjunct to more conventional interrogation techniques in the activities of Mr. White?

A I don't really remember that. I only know that he used his safe house for many non-LSD related Bureau of Narcotics

1

activities. It was used as a safe house for their operational purposes and some of the time he administered LSD.

Q How does administering LSD to an individual facilitate a drug buy?

A I think that is what he was trying to find out and I think his conclusion was just as an interrogation aid, it doesn't work very well, it doesn't work reliably.

What he was hoping was that it would make an individual less inhibited, less careful, indiscreet.

Q What do you mean when you say "facilitate a drug buy"?

A Making it easier for him to carry of an operation, which I understand is always a very tricky, one of the convincing a potential drug seller that you are a real buyer and not a narcotics agent.

Q How many such administrations of LSD occurred in addition with the San Francisco safe house activity?

- A I can only give you an order of magnitude.
- Q Please do.
- Q 30 or 40. I don't know if you remember, but in the Kennedy testimony, that was the point of some contention. Some seemed to think the number was more like 200 and I told them,

and I am telling you now, that is a ridiculous figure.

Q And those administrations of LSD, were they completely unwitting?

A Yes.

Q As to those San Francisco administrations, there was no doctor present?

A There was no doctor present.

Q Were all of the LSD administrations conducted by Mr. White conducted physically within the safehouse?

A I am not sure that they all took place there.

I would say most of them did.

Q Did Mr. White test methods of administering drugs unwittingly to individuals, the techniques of slipping drugs to individuals?

A I am not really -- I don't have a clear memory of that, certainly he had to do that in order to carry out his kind of an operation and that was never systematically done.

He didn't have an organized way of investigating, is what I am trying to say. It was incidental. It was an incidental body of information that derived from the work he was doing.

Q Did Mr. White test paraphernalia that had been prepared by TSD or other technical sections of the CIA which

was designed to slip drugs to unwitting individuals, such as swizzle sticks?

A Yes, he did some of that work, but as I say, it was not a systematic organized way.

Q Did you ever see photographs of Mr. White engaged in the activities he conducted at the San Francisco safe house?

- A I never saw photographs of it, no.
- Q Did you ever hear sound recordings?
- A I didn't, no.
- Q What do you know of the existence of either of those?

A I have a vague memory that that kind of information exists, but I don't know how much it was, as I say, I didn't myself see it.

Q Did Mr. White administer LSD as part of his activities in San Francisco?

A I don't have that accurate a recollection on it but he probably did. When I talk about 30 or 40, whatever number was done by people other than himself, was included in that.

Q What is the basis of your being so certain about 30 or 40, Doctor?

A Just my acquaintance with the whole project, the amount of money he used, the number of reports he sent back to

us, the amount of LSD we gave him -- it was a combination of all of those things. As to the New York activity of Mr. White, you stated 3 that he reported informally and orally; is that correct? Λ Yes. As to the San Francisco activity? 0 He reported in writing. 7 Α Written reports. After each administration? 8 0 Pretty much. He may have accumulated several of 9 10 them. MR. TURNER: We will be requesting those reports. 11 THE WITNESS: They were all destroyed. They don't 12 1.3 exist anymore. MR. TURNER: We will still be requesting that the 14 Agency check to be sure that those materials did not --15 THE WITNESS: That was all done. 16 MR. STRICKLAND: Reports from White? 17 THE WITNESS: they were specifically destroyed when 18 the files were destroyed in '72, '73. 19 MR. STRICKLAND: I think they were but I will be 20 21 glad to check. MR. TURNER: Certain of the documents that purported 22

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

to be destroyed have turned up since then, Doctor.

THE WITNESS: That is not true.

MR. STRICKLAND: Don't worry about it. We will check it.

THE WITNESS: The reason I have an interest in that, if we are going to hold these depositions in those materials found, it will go on forever. I have a special interest.

MR. LICHTMAN: We have a special interest in it not going on forever.

THE WITNESS: The research for these things could take a long time. I may be appearing a little short, I am patient, I am getting very tired.

BY MR. TURNER:

- Q If you would like to stop, please say so.
- A We can go on until 6:00.
- Q If you are fatigued and it is, even if not interfering with your ability to answer, please say so and we will be glad to recess?
 - A Let's go on until the time we plan.
- Q Did Mr. White test other drugs aside from LSD either in New York or in San Francisco?
 - A He might have but I don't have a remembrance of that.

I might nave, for instance, checked out this meretran once or twice but I don't have a clear remembrance of that.

- Q What about tetrahydrocannabinol?
- A Tetrahydrocannabinol, no,I don't think he used that.
- Q George White arranged for CIA officers to interview prostitutes at the San Francisco safe house?

Please tell us about that activity.

A I don't have any specific information on that.

Gittinger would be a much better source to question him about it.

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection to the form.

I believe and I just offer this to be helpful,

I believe that is incorrect. The way you describe the use of

prostitutes.

MR. TURNER: My statement was George White arranged for CIA personnel to interview prostitutes in the San Francisco safehouse.

MR. STRICKLAND: Then I misunderstood. I mishoard your question.

THE WITNESS: Disregard what I said. I don't know too much about that.

BY MR. TURNER:

3

Q Please tell us what you know?

4

could come under that heading took place at the safe house.

I don't know any more about it. I didn't monitor it, I was

Gittinger would know more. I know that some activity

6

not there when it happened, I was not involved. Gittinger

7

would have much better answers.

8

BY MR. TURNER:

9

Q Who else besides Gittinger was involved in that?

10

A It may have been one or two other people in the psychological group in TSD but I don't remember who they were.

11

n Rhodes?

12

A I don't know. It could have been.

14

Q Did George White use prostitutes to test methods of

1.5

slipping drugs to unwitting persons?

drug buys took place with their involvement.

16 17 A I can only give you a general answer to that.

To my knowledge, the involvement of prostitutes in the West

18

Coast activity had to do with the MO, the modus operandi of

19

this whole drug culture. They came in and out of it that way.

20

I am sure some of his informants were prostitutes, some of his

21

What I am trying to say, aside from this thing you

22

8

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

eluded to before, I forget whether it was a study or some specific interest that Gittinger's group had in that, what I am trying to say to my knowledge, there was not a focus on prostitutes as a generic group of subjects but rather they were involved as they would be in the drug scene.

I don't know if that makes any sense to you.

Q There was a third safehouse in Marin County, would you, please, tell us what now about that safe house?

A I don't know much about that. I don't think I can help you much, except that it existed. As I remember, I found out, this took place when I was overseas and when I came back I found out they had conducted some work on the aerosol administration of LSD.

O How did you find that out?

A I either read it in files or one of Gittinger's people related it to me.

Q This was when?

A '62 or '63.

Q What was your position at that time?

A I was either not in TSD or when I came back in TSD as their director of RUD. I was either working for Bissell or I came back to TSD as Research and Development Director.

1	Those dates may be of by a year or so.
2	Q George White knew he was working for the CIA, didn't
3	he?
4	A Yes, he did.
5	Q Anslinger knew he was working for the CIA?
6	Λ Yes, he did.
7	Q Who else within the Bureau of Narcotics was withing
8	of Mr. White's connection with the CIA?
9	A There were three other people but I don't remember
10	now who they were.
11	MR. TURNER: Rather than begin on another line,
12	I think
13	THE WITNESS: You mean we have more on White, because
14	I seem to be on a track where my memory is reasonably clear
15	about that.
16	BY MR. TURNER:
17	Q We will do our best, there are other documents,
18	other materials, I will, of course, come back.
19	What instructions did you give Mr. White as to
20	the protections of experimental subjects that he was using
21	in LSD tests?
22	A In the course of the period that I had to do, White,

he knew everything I knew about LSD. He was pretty much on his own. I didn't give him any instructions. He was only requested to report to us what happened after his LSD administration.

- Q What instructions did Mr. Lashbrook give Mr. White as to the protection --
 - Λ I doubt whether he gave him any.
 - Q Of the subjects in the experiment?

A It is euphemistically called an "experiment". These were a series of more or less simulated, as far as we were concerned, operational uses and you could tell something from these data what would happen when someone got LSD and didn't know in a way the information we needed to know.

In those days, our focus was defensive primarily and we called these experiments, that is pushing the term quite a bit.

- Q Did you ever witness such a simulated operational use?
 - A I didn't, no.
 - Q Did anyone from the institute?
 - A I think so.
 - O You think so? Who?
 - A I don't remember who. Gittinger might have.

1	Q Lashbrook?
2	A Maybe, I am not sure. You have to ask them.
3	Q Did you visit the San Francisco and Marin County
4	safe house?
5	Λ I think you asked me that and I said yes.
6	Q On how many occasions?
7	Λ Three.
8	Q What was the purpose of those visits?
9	A Sometimes I would be in San Francisco on some other
10	business and I would drop in and talk to White about what he
11	had been doing and what he needed in the safehouse.
12	Q Did you ever travel there expressly to visit the
13	safe house?
14	A Yes, at least once in the early months.
15	Q What was the reason for that?
16	A Just to check out the place, look and what they were
17	spending the money for.
18	Q Did you write a report based on that visit?
19	A I don't remember that that well.
20	Q When did the CIA's relationship with Mr. White
21	terminate?
22	A I am not sure of this date, but it probably was

2

.

7

8

10

12

11

1.3

15

16

18

19

21

2.2

sometime like -- I am just not sure. I was going to say '63 or so, but since I wasn't in CIA for that period of time, I am hazy on that point.

Q What were the circumstances of your termination of that activity?

A I am not sure I remember that except that we felt that we knew the answers to what we need to know as much as we can get them from that kind of an arrangement.

Q There was an Inspector General survey of TSD which raised questions regarding the safehouse activity, what was the relationship of that to the termination of that activity?

A I don't remember that being directly related to the termination.

MR. TURNER: I think this is a good place to stop.

I think I will be coming back to Mr. White. There is an

extensive series of questions that I will need to go through
which relate to the IG Report and the various other documents
in that time frame.

Thank you for your time.

We are recessing this until 10:00 a.m., June 14, 1983, in the morning at the same location.

(Whereupon, at 6:00 p.m., the deposition in the above-entitled matter recessed, to reconvene at 10:00 a.m., June 14, 1983.)

I have read the foregoing page

I have read the foregoing pages 238 thorugh 416, inclusive, which contain a correct transcript of the answers given by me to the questions herein recorded.)

Sidney Gottlieb

CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER

I, Millie Ciolino, shorthand reporter, do hereby certify that the testimony of the witness appearing in the foregoing deposition at pages 238 through 416, inclusive, was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me; that said deposition at said pages is a true record of the Lestimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Shorthand Reporter

İ

Milton Reporting, Inc.

R.E. Millon President F.R. Joyce V.P. & General Mgr Y. Deutschman Freasurer Gonoral Stonolype Reporting
Suite 301
1601 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009

June 6, 1983

Phone 202-833-3598 202-833-3599

Notacy Public D.C. Va. Md

Dr. Sidney Gottlieb Rural No. Box 301A Boston, Virginia 22713

ORLIKOW, et al., v. UNITED STATES Re: Deposition of Sidney Gottlieb

Dear Dr. Gottlieb:

On behalf of counsel who took your deposition on May 17, 1983 you are hereby notified that the transcript of your deposition is now ready and available at the above address for reading and signing as requested by you.

Kindly let the undersigned know when you will be in.

If it is not convenient for you to come to our offices, we would suggest you read counsel's carbon copy, prepare an errata sheet, sign the errata sheet before a notary public, return it to our office and we will attach it to the court copy of the transcript and proceed to file it with the court.

If by July 6, 1983 we have not received any request for extension of time or otherwise heard from you, it will be assumed that reading and signing are no longer desired, and the deposition will be filed.

Very sincerely yours

11.00. 0

Reporter/Notary

cc: Mr. Turner; Mr. Strickland file

	181		