Josh Guetzkow
@joshg99

21h • 12 tweets • 5 min read Read on Twitter

Subscribe

Bookmark Save as PDF

Was the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine clinical trial a bait-and-switch?

There were >44,000 people in the trial, but only ~250 of them were given doses made with a new manufacturing method ('process 2') that was used to make enough doses to sell around the world.

To our knowledge, the safety and efficacy comparison they planned to do with those 250 subjects has never been published and has not been released in the FOIA'd documents that Pfizer submitted to the FDA. Was the comparison ever done? Where are the results?

@mentions

and I explore the importance of this comparison and the potential impact of variability in the the production process of COVID-19 mRNA vaccines on efficacy and safety in a newly published rapid response in the .

Effect of mRNA Vaccine Manufacturing Processes on Efficacy and Safety Still an Open Question
https://www.bmj.com/content/378/bmj.o1731/rr-2

Keep in mind that one of the major changes in the new production process was using bacterial cDNA to upscale production of mRNA. @Kevin_McKernan's analysis of vaccine vials found unacceptably high levels of leftover bacterial DNA.

Genomics expert discovers concerning contents in COVID vaccine vials
Former research and development leader at the Human Genome Project has taken citizen science into his own hands to learn more about what exactly lurks in the vials of the novel COVID-19 injections.
https://www.rebelnews.com/genomics_expert_discovers_concerning_contents_in_covid_vaccine_vials

Pfizer's 6-month report to the FDA doesn't include the process 2 comparison, but it does show a significantly higher serious adverse event rate in placebo subjects after they were given the vaccine compared to the original vaccine group, "as expected." Why was it expected?

We know from FOIA'd documents that about 70% of the trial sites received new batches with distinct Pfizer lot numbers after Nov. 19. Were these intended for the crossover placebo subjects? Were they different than the doses given to the original treatment group? And if so, how?

In addition, a recent Danish study found significant variability in the rate of serious adverse events across 52 different lots of Comirnaty. onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ec…

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/eci.13998

Taken together, evidence from trial documents and existing research underscores the need to better understand the potential impact of variability in the production process of COVID-19 mRNA vaccines on efficacy and safety.

I forgot to add: The two process 2 lots sent to 8 trial sites were also given to the public. There are 1,149 reports in VAERS for these lots, including 307 serious adverse events and 23 deaths.

This thread is also highly relevant to manufacturing standards and batch toxicity:

Somebody asked if study C4591017 was the process 2 comparison trial: clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/tri…
It isn't though it does compare 5 (unidentified) lots & found a 2x rate of subjects experiencing at least one AE and AEs judged related to the vaccine in one lot & variation in SAEs.

In case it isn't clear, the 2 production processes were radically different and yielded different quality products! You can't just test it on 250 people and say it's all the same. They could not be assumed to be bio-similar, like name-brand and generic.

• • •

Underneath the trees indigo plants provide food and shelter for the butterflies: excess indigo leaves are harvested and sold.

More from @joshg99

Josh Guetzkow
@joshg99

22h

This is too crazy. Today in the waiting room at a clinic of Israel's largest HMO, I see out of the corner of my eye I see "Pfizer" written on one of the screens they have dotting the walls. What's this all about? 🧵 (See alt text for translation.)

Had to wait for the selfless and

Read 8 tweets

Josh Guetzkow
@joshg99

May 4

Comirnaty or Comirnaughty? Fishy Findings from the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID Vaccine Clinical Trial Data A🧵 summarizing my collaborative investigation with @canceledmouse (See tweets at end of thread for links to podcast discussion & blog post with more info & details.)

Read 16 tweets

Josh Guetzkow
@joshg99

Apr 13

Interesting! The very first study that used the self-controlled case series (SCCS) method to study vaccine safety (from 1995) found that MMR vaccination greatly increased rate of aseptic meningitis (brain inflammation) by 10-14x among children 12-24 months old.

Read 5 tweets

Josh Guetzkow
@joshg99

Mar 31

Delighted to see @HighWireTalk and @JeffereyJaxen reporting on the #VAERScontracts I've been covering since December. @RMConservative

I hope @HighWireTalk and @JeffereyJaxen will also report on the 770 safety signals the CDC found in VAERS in July 2022 and covered up. open.substack.com/pub/jackanapes…

Read 6 tweets

Josh Guetzkow
@joshg99

Mar 21

💥💥The Banality of VAERS💥💥
Newly FOIA'd reports reveal the bureaucracy of record keeping & the devastation caused by the COVID-19 vaccines. The contractor hired to process 1,000 VAERS reports/day overwhelmed by flood of reports in first month of the vaccination campaign.🧵

Read 6 tweets

Josh Guetzkow
@joshg99

Mar 9

"Generalized Functional Neurological Pain" That was 1 of the 7 adverse events recorded for 12-year old clinical trial volunteer Maddie de Garay in the latest #pfizerfiles released under FOIA. Trial records say it started 1 day after her second dose, but was deemed "unrelated."

Another adverse event recorded on

Read 6 tweets

support us?

Make a small donation by buying us coffee ($5) or help with server cost ($10)

Donate via Paypal

Or Donate anonymously using crypto!

Ethereum

`0xfe58350B80634f60Fa6Dc149a72b4DFbc17D341E` copy

Bitcoin

`3ATGMxNzCUFzxpMCHL5sWSt4DVtS8UqXpi` copy

❤❤ Thank you for your support! ❤❤

Follow Us on Twitter!

Tweet Share